I Influence of soot aerosol properties on the counting efficiency

of instruments used for the periodic technical inspection of diesel vehicles

Tobias Hammer¹, Diana Roos¹, Barouch Giechaskiel², Anastasios Melas², Konstantina
 Vasilatou¹

⁶ ¹Department of Chemistry, Federal Institute of Metrology METAS, Bern-Wabern, 3003, Switzerland

⁷ ² European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), 21027 Ispra, Italy

8 *Correspondence to*: Konstantina Vasilatou (konstantina.vasilatou@metas.ch)

9 Abstract. In this work, we investigated the influence of different types of soot aerosol on the counting efficiency 10 (CE) of instruments employed for the periodic technical inspection (PTI) of diesel vehicles. Such instruments 11 report particle number (PN) concentration. Combustion aerosols were generated by a prototype bigCAST, a miniCAST 5201 BC, a miniCAST 6204 C and a miniature inverted soot generator (MISG). For comparison 12 13 purposes, diesel soot was generated by a Euro 5b diesel test vehicle with by-passed diesel particulate filter (DPF). 14 The size-dependent counting efficiency profile of six PN-PTI instruments was determined with each one of the 15 aforementioned test aerosols. The results showed that the type of soot aerosol affected the response of the PN-PTI 16 sensors in an individualised manner. Consequently, it was difficult to identify trends and draw conclusive results 17 about which laboratory-generated soot is the best proxy for diesel soot. Deviations in the counting efficiency 18 remained typically within 0.25 units when using laboratory-generated soot compared to Euro 5b diesel soot of 19 similar mobility diameter (~50-60 nm). Soot with a mobility diameter of ~100 nm generated by the MISG, the 20 lowest size we could achieve, resulted in most cases in similar counting efficiencies as that generated by the 21 different CAST generators at the same particle size, showing that MISG may be a satisfactory - and affordable -22 option for PN-PTI verification; however, further optimization will be needed for low-cost soot generators to

23 comply with European PN-PTI verification requirements.

24 1 Introduction

25 Soot particles emitted by transport sources can have adverse health effects (Kheirbek et al., 2016; US-EPA, 2019; 26 WHO, 2021). To reduce particulate emissions, new procedures for the periodic technical inspection (PTI) of diesel 27 vehicles based on the measurement of particle number (PN) concentration have recently been established in 28 Switzerland, Germany, the Netherlands and Belgium, while other countries might follow in due time (EU, 2023; 29 Vasilatou et al., 2022). Portable instruments known as PN-PTI counters are used for measuring particle number 30 concentration (PNC) directly in the tailpipe of diesel vehicles equipped with a diesel particle filter (DPF) 31 (Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Melas et al., 2021, 2022, 2023). When the DPF is intact, the emitted PNC is low 32 (typically up to a few thousand particles per cm³), whereas if the DPF is defect or tampered, PNC increases to 33 several hundred thousand particles per cm³ (Botero et al., 2023; Burtscher et al., 2019; Giechaskiel et al., 2022). 34 In terms of particle mass concentration, a functioning DPF can reduce particulate emissions by up to a factor of 35 150 (Ligterink, 2018) while in terms of particle number concentration a solid particle number trapping efficiency 36 of higher than 99 % has been reported in the literature (Frank, Adam et al., 2020). It has been shown that a small

37 fraction (about 10%) of vehicles with defective DPF is responsible for up to 80-90% of the total fleet emissions

(Burtscher et al., 2019; Kurniawan and Schmidt-Ott, 2006). The goal of PN-PTI procedures is to identify diesel
vehicles with compromised DPFs, thus ensuring that vehicles in operation maintain their performance as
guaranteed by type-approval, without excessive degradation, throughout their lifetime (EU, 2023).

41 Although the concept of PN-PTI is simple, its implementation in practice is not as straightforward. PTI procedures 42 are not fully harmonised and, as a result, the limit values for the emitted PNC, the technical specifications of the

42 are not fully harmonised and, as a result, the limit values for the emitted PNC, the technical specifications of the
 43 PN-PTI counters and the test protocol for type-examination and verification are defined at a national level (Anon,

44 n.d.; AU-Richtlinie, n.d.; PTB, 2021; UVEK, 2023; VAMV, 2018; Vasilatou et al., 2022, 2023). Differences in

45 national legislations might lead to contradicting results, e.g. the same diesel vehicle might pass the PTI check in

one country but fail in another one. To ensure fair implementation of regulations across Europe and avoid
 unnecessary costs which may occur for vehicle owners after a False Fail, the various PTI procedures must be
 compared and the differences elucidated.

49 PN-PTI instruments go through a type-examination procedure which may differ in each country. Among several 50 tests, type-examination includes a counting efficiency and a linearity check typically performed with combustion 51 aerosols. During their lifetime, PN-PTI instruments are checked for their linearity with polydisperse particles 52 (typically with a GMD of 70 ± 20 nm). In our previous study (Vasilatou et al., 2023), we showed that the choice 53 of test aerosol during type-examination or verification of PN-PTI instruments significantly affects the performance 54 of instruments based on diffusion charging (DC). When sodium chloride (NaCl) or carbonaceous particles from 55 spark-discharge generators were used as test aerosols, the counting efficiency of the DC-based instruments 56 changed by up to a factor of two compared to that exhibited with diesel soot. The experiments clearly showed that 57 soot from laboratory-based combustion generators was the best proxy for soot emitted by diesel engines, however, 58 potential differences between the different combustion generators available on the market were not investigated.

In this study, we challenged six different DC-based PN-PTI instruments with polydisperse soot particles produced by three different CAST generators (Jing AG, Switzerland), the miniature inverted soot generator (MISG, Argonaut Scientific, Canada) and a Euro 5b diesel vehicle. The geometric mean diameter of the test aerosol was in the range used for linearity checks of PN-PTI instruments as well as in typical size range emitted by diesel

63 engines. The scope of our study was to investigate possible differences that may arise when using different

- 64 combustion aerosol generators during the type-examination and verification of PN-PTI instruments as well as to
- 65 correlate with diesel engine emitted soot. We focused on DC-based instruments because we expect a larger impact

of the aerosol properties on their response compared to CPC-based ones (Vasilatou et al., 2023). The size-

67 dependent counting efficiency of the PN-PTI instruments was determined by using a condensation particle counter

68 (NPET 3795, TSI Inc., USA) as a reference instrument. We discuss the results in the context of the different

69 national legislations and make recommendations for the harmonisation of the various calibration and verification

70 procedures in the laboratory.

71 **2 Materials and methods**

72 During the first measurement campaign at METAS, the following laboratory-based diffusion or premixed flame

- 73 generators were used to produce test aerosols: a prototype bigCAST, a miniCAST 5201 BC (Ess et al., 2021b; Ess
- 74 and Vasilatou, 2019), a miniCAST 6204 C and the miniature inverted soot generator (MISG) (Giechaskiel and

75 Melas, 2022; Kazemimanesh et al., 2019; Moallemi et al., 2019; Senaratne et al., 2023). By varying the operation

76 points of the CAST generators, polydisperse aerosols with a geometric mean mobility diameter (GMD_{mob}) ranging

77 from 50 nm to 100 nm were generated, as summarised in Fig. S1. In the case of the MISG, particles with a GMD_{mob}

- 78 down to 100 nm were produced in a repeatable and stable manner using a mixture of dimethyl ether and propane
- 79 (Senaratne et al., 2023). This is in agreement with another study, where the modal diameter varied between 95 and

80 158 nm (Bischof et al., 2020).

81 The counting efficiency profiles (CE) of six DC-based PN-PTI counters, namely the AEM (TEN, the Netherlands), 82 HEPaC (developed by the University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland and distributed by Naneos 83 GmbH, Switzerland), DiTEST (AVL DiTEST, Austria), CAP3070 (Capelec, France), DX280 (Continental Aftermarket & Services GmbH, Germany) and AIP PDC KG4 (referred to as Knestel hereafter, KNESTEL 84 85 Technologie & Elektronik GmbH, Germany) were determined experimentally. The HEPaC, DiTEST, CAP3070 and DX280 had been type-approved at METAS according to the Swiss regulations (VAMV, 2018) whereas the 86 87 Knestel instrument had been type-approved according to the German regulation (AU-Richtlinie, n.d.). The experimental setup at METAS is depicted in Fig. 1a. Soot produced by CAST-burners or the MISG was passed 88 89 through a catalytic stripper (CS, Catalytic Instruments GmbH, Germany), a Nafion dryer (MD-700-12S-1, 90 PERMA PURE, U.S.A.), a VKL 10 diluter (Palas GmbH, Germany) and a custom-made dilution bridge, and was 91 mixed and diluted with filtered air in a 27-ml-volume chamber. To deliver the aerosol into the mixing volume, a 92 blower (Micronel AG, Switzerland) was used. The aerosol was split with a custom -made 8-port flow splitter and delivered simultaneously to the devices under test (DUT, in this case PN-PTI instrument) and the reference particle 93 94 counter (NPET 3795, TSI Inc., USA). The splitter bias was determined according to the procedure specified in the 95 ISO 27891 standard and was found to be within 1 % for particles with a GMD_{mob} equal to or larger than 23 nm. In 96 addition, the length of the tubes from the flow splitter to the devices was adapted to the respective flow rate to 97 ensure equal diffusion losses. The NPET was selected as reference instrument for two reasons; i) it could be used 98 in field measurements as it included a dilution system, a volatile particle remover and a particle counter, ii) during 99 type examination portable PN-PTI instruments are typically used as reference. NPET had been calibrated in a 100 traceable manner according to the ISO 27891 standard, and showed a CE of 0.58 ± 0.02 , 0.77 ± 0.02 , 0.77 ± 0.01 , 0.80 ± 0.01 and 0.79 ± 0.02 at a GMD_{mob} of 23 nm, 50 nm, 70 nm, 80 nm and 100 nm, respectively and this 101 102 counting efficiency was taken into account during data analysis (i.e. calibration factors in the range 1.72 - 1.28 103 were applied to the concentrations reported by the NPET depending on the particle size).



104

105Figure 1: a) Experimental setup for the verification of PN-PTI instruments in the laboratory. Four different combustion106generators were used (see text for more details). DUT stands for device under test. Dashed arrows designate107measurements which were performed separately, i.e. not in parallel with PN-PTI verification. b) Experimental setup as108used for field measurements at JRC.

Mobility size distributions were recorded simultaneously by a scanning mobility particle sizer (⁸⁵Kr source 3077A, 109 110 DMA 3081 and butanol CPC 3776, TSI Inc., USA). To analyse the morphology of the soot particles, particles 111 were sampled for 5 s with a flow rate of 1.2 L/min downstream the Nafion dryer, collected on copper-coated TEM 112 (transmission electron microscopy) grids placed in a mini particle sampler (MPS, Ecomeasure, France) and analysed with a Spirit Transmission EM (Tecnai, FEI Company, USA). Soot particles were also sampled on QR-113 100 Advantec filters (Toyo Roshi Kaisha, Ltd. Japan, preheated at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for > 1 h) for durations of 15 – 30 min. 114 115 Elemental carbon (EC) to total carbon (TC) mass fractions were measured with an OC/EC Model 5L analyser (Sunset Laboratory Inc., NL) by applying an extended EUSAAR-2 protocol (Ess et al., 2021b, 2021a). In a second 116 117 measurement campaign at JRC, the HEPaC, DiTEST, CAP3070 and DX280 counters were challenged with real 118 diesel engine exhaust from a Euro 5b vehicle. Fig. 1b depicts the experimental setup at JRC. Soot from engine exhaust was passed through a water trap, a heated line (150 °C) to avoid water condensation, an ejector dilutor 119 120 (DI-1000, Dekati, Finland), a catalytic stripper (Catalytic Instruments GmbH, Germany) to remove (semi)volatile 121 organic matter, and was diluted to the required concentrations with a custom-made dilution bridge. It has been 122 shown that the ejector dilutor does not affect the particle size distribution (Giechaskiel et al., 2009). PNC was recorded for several minutes, which allowed identifying long-time trends or drifts of the reported PNC. In addition, 123 124 PNCs were averaged over a period of 1 min, thus the duration was similar to the duration of real PN-PTI tests 125 which varies from 15 to 90 s. Mobility size distributions were measured by an SMPS, consisting of an ⁸⁵Kr source (3077A, TSI Inc., U.S.A.; purchased in 2021), a DMA 3081 and a CPC 3010 (TSI Inc., USA). 126

- 127 A Euro 5b vehicle with by-passed DPF was tested as real source of diesel soot. The vehicle generated size 128 distributions with a GMD_{mob} of 56.4 nm \pm 0.7 nm. Diesel particles from the Euro 5b vehicle were collected on
- 129 TEM grids and quartz filters and analysed as described above.
- 130 The fractal dimension $D_{\rm f}$ of size-selected soot particles with a mobility diameter $d_{\rm p}$ of 100 nm was derived via
- 131 image analysis of high-quality TEM-images using the FracLac feature of ImageJ 1.53e (ImageJ, National institutes
- 132 of Health, USA). In a first step, the greyscale TEM-images were converted into binary images utilizing the auto-
- 133 convert function of FracLac. In a second step, the $D_{\rm f}$ values were determined via the so-called box counting,
- 134 averaging 12 rotations of each image. The effective density was determined for the 100 nm setpoints using an
- 135 Aerodynamic Aerosol Classifier (AAC, Cambustion, UK) and a DMA (TSI Inc., USA) in tandem as described in
- 136 (Tavakoliand Olfert, 2014).

137 3 Results

138 **3.1 Aerosol properties**

139 Particle number concentration measured by diffusion chargers depends on the average number of charges carried 140 by each particle (Fierz et al., 2011). Particle size and morphology have been shown to have an effect on the number 141 of charges carried by the particles and, thus, on the counting efficiency of diffusion charger based PN-PTI 142 instruments (see (Dhaniyala et al., 2011; Vasilatou et al., 2023) and references therein). Soot particles form 143 complex structures described by a fractal-like scaling law (Mandelbrot, 1982), and their mobility is influenced by 144 their morphology (described by the fractal dimension and fractal pre-factor) and the momentum-transfer regime 145 (Filippov et al., 2000; Melas et al., 2014; Sorensen, 2011). To characterise the soot particles produced by the 146 different aerosol generators, the following aerosol properties were determined: particle size distribution, EC/TC ratio, primary particle size and fractal dimension. EC/TC ratio can also have an effect on the morphology of the 147 148 soot particles. Soot particles formed in premixed flames (i.e. high EC/TC) exhibit a loose agglomerate structure 149 where the primary particles are clearly distinguishable from one another, while soot generated in fuel-rich flames 150 (high OC/TC) has a more compact structure and the primary particles tend to merge with each other (see Fig. 3 in (Ess et al., 2021b)). OC stands for organic carbon. 151

152

The properties of the soot aerosols are summarised in Table 1. Mobility size distributions and TEM images are shown in Fig. S1 and Fig. 2, respectively.

155**Table 1: Physical properties of the soot aerosols produced by the various combustion generators and the Euro 5b engine**.156 GMD_{mob} and GSD stand for geometric mean mobility diameter and geometric standard deviation. EC and TC denote elemental157and total carbon. d_{pp} , ρ_{eff} and D_f are the primary particle diameter, effective density and fractal dimension of soot particles.

Soot	Setpoint	GMD _{mob}	GSD (nm)	EC/TC mass	<i>d</i> _{pp} (nm)**	$\rho_{\rm eff}({\rm g/cm^3})^{***}$	$D_{ m f}^{\dagger\dagger}$
generator		(nm)		fraction (%)*			
MISG	100 nm	103.3	1.76	86.2 ± 10	9.2 ± 2.8	0.91 ± 0.02	1.63 ± 0.08
miniCAST	50 nm	50.7	1.43	57.2 ± 8.9			
6204 C	70 nm	73.4	1.48	27.9 ± 4.6			
	80 nm	80.0	1.54	77.8 ± 9.0			
	100 nm	99.5	1.69	41.9 ± 6.5	21.6 ± 2.5	0.35 ± 0.04	1.64 ± 0.09

miniCAST	50 nm	51.1	1.60	100 ± 18.5			
5201 BC	70 nm	75.3	1.59	94.6 ± 15.6			
	fuel-lean						
	70 nm	74.2	1.69	73.7 ± 11.4			
	fuel-rich						
	80 nm	81.8	1.57	98.1 ± 15.3			
	100 nm	99.8	1.63	97.4 ± 9.6	$15.8\pm3.5^{\dagger}$	~ 0.4 [†]	1.55 ± 0.11
	fuel-lean						
	100 nm	101.9	1.58	65.7 ± 10.0	Primary	$1.04\pm0.16^{\dagger}$	1.65 ± 0.08
	fuel-rich				particles are		
					partly merged [†]		
bigCAST	50 nm	52.5	1.57	50.9 ± 11.7			
	70 nm	71.6	1.54	62.2 ± 13.3			
	80 nm	81.5	1.53	81.2 ± 8.8			
	100 nm	98.9	1.60	100.0 ± 9.0	24.5 ± 1.8	0.66 ± 0.04	1.57 ± 0.05
Vehicle		56.4	2.12 ± 0.00	83.5 ± 20.5	19.7 ± 4.4		
Euro 5b							

158 * Uncertainties of the EC/TC mass fraction (downstream of the CS) are estimated to be in the range of 10-15 %.

159 Uncertainties due to the split point could not be quantified and were not taken into account.

160 ** Expanded uncertainty (k=2, 95 % confidence interval) determined as the twofold standard deviation of d_{pp} , of

161 at least 20 primary particles of various mature soot particles divided by the square route of the number of 162 measurements.

163 *** Expanded uncertainty (k=2, 95 % confidence interval) determined as the twofold standard deviation of three 164 measurements.

165 † Taken from (Ess et al., 2021b).

166 \dagger \dagger Expanded uncertainty (k=2, 95 % confidence interval) determined as the twofold standard deviation of at least

167 10 measurements.

168

169 The $D_{\rm f}$ values summarised in Table 1 represent the average values obtained from at least 20 particles for each type

of soot. These values agree well with those reported in previous studies for bare (i.e. freshly emitted) soot particles

171 (Pang et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2017).

172 . The lowest effective density $(0.35 \pm 0.02 \text{ g/cm}^3)$ was found for particles generated by the miniCAST 6204 C.

173 Considering that these particles contain a high amount of OC, this value might seem at first glance to be low, but

174 can be explained by the highly fractal-like structure of soot (Fig. 2e). In comparison, the miniCAST 5201 BC

produced particles with an effective density of 1.04 ± 0.08 g/cm³ when operated under fuel-rich conditions (i.e.

high OC mass fraction), which is in line with the more compact structure as shown in (Ess et al., 2021b). Similarly,

177 the MISG generated particles with an effective density of 0.91 ± 0.02 g/cm³. 100 nm particles generated by the

- 178 bigCAST exhibited an intermediate effective density of 0.66 ± 0.02 g/cm³. According to the summary work by
- 179 Olfert and Rogak, the effective density of denuded soot from various sources (gas turbines, compression ignition
- 180 engines and laboratory-based burners) lies typically in the range 0.4-0.8 g/cm³ at 100 nm mobility diameter (Olfert
- 181 and Rogak, 2019). Compression-ignition engines tend to produce soot with higher effective densities, while gas-

- 182 turbine soot tends to have lower effective densities (Olfert and Rogak, 2019). The calculated fractal dimension of
- 183 soot particles lied in the range 1.55 1.65 for all generators, in line with the fractal-like morphology observed in
- 184 the TEM images and with previous studies on freshly emitted soot particles from different combustion sources
- 185 (Pang et al., 2023)..
- 186



187

188Figure 2: TEM images of polydisperse soot particles generated by a) the miniCAST 5201 BC (GMD_mob of ~100 nm, fuel-189lean setpoint); b) the MISG (GMD_mob of ~100 nm); c) by the Euro 5b test vehicle (GMD_mob of ~55 nm); d) the prototype190bigCAST (GMD_mob of ~100 nm); and e) by the miniCAST 6204 C (GMD_mob of ~100 nm). Further images are compiled191in Figs. S2-S5 and in (Ess et al., 2021b).

- 192 Soot particles generated by the bigCAST with a GMD_{mob} of ~ 100 nm consist of primary particles with a diameter
- 193 $d_{pp} = 24.5 \text{ nm} \pm 1.8 \text{ nm}$, whereas those from miniCAST 5201 BC (fuel lean setpoint) have an average primary
- 194 particle size of 12.3 nm \pm 3.7 nm at a similar GMD_{mob}. Soot generated by the MISG had a much smaller primary
- 195 particle size (d_{pp} of 9.2 nm ± 3.8 nm). The TEM images in Figs. 2b and S3 revealed that some particles have a
- 196 more compact soot structure than what reported by (Kazemimanesh et al., 2019) who used ethylene as fuel. This
- 197 observation is in line with the relatively high particle effective density (0.91 g/cm³) reported above.

198 **3.2** Counting efficiency (CE) profiles of PN-PTI counters

- The CE profiles of the PN-PTI instruments under test were determined by dividing the reported number concentration by that measured with a reference condensation particle counter (NPET 3795, TSI Inc., USA). The counting efficiency of the reference counter was taken into account during the data analysis.
- Figure 3 summarises the results obtained with the various laboratory-based combustion generators and the Euro
- 203 5b diesel vehicle. In general, the CE of PN-PTI instruments increased with increasing GMD_{mob}, in line with
- previous studies (Melas et al., 2023; Vasilatou et al., 2023). In the case of CAP3070, CE started to decrease at
- $GMD_{mob} \ge 65$ nm, most probably due to built-in correction factors. It cannot be ruled out that the measurement

206 principle of the instrument, based on the so-called escaping current principle, plays also a role (Lehtimäki, 1983). 207 In general, for each PN-PTI instrument, the differences in CE when challenged with different soot aerosols of similar particle size were <0.25 at 50 nm and increased with size, but remained typically lower than 0.5. Higher 208 209 differences were observed for CAP3070 at around 100 nm, probably related to the internal correction factors. This 210 indicates that the exact morphology (e.g. primary particle size, effective density) of the test aerosol had an effect 211 on instrument performance as expected from previous studies (Dhaniyala et al., 2011). The response of each PN-212 PTI model was, however, individual, making it difficult to draw any general trends. For instance, the CE of the 213 HEPaC was higher when measuring soot particles from the miniCAST 6204 C compared to soot of similar GMD_{mob} from the bigCAST. CAP3070 showed the opposite behaviour. At a GMD_{mob} of ~100 nm, DX280 214 exhibited a higher CE with soot particles generated by the miniCAST 5201 BC under fuel-rich conditions (i.e. 215 216 lower EC/TC mass fraction) than at fuel-lean conditions (higher EC/TC mass fraction). CAP3070 showed again 217 the opposite behaviour. It is also worth mentioning that for the HePAC and DX280 instruments the measured CE 218 values scattered more at particle sizes larger than 90 nm. This supports the choice of soot with 50-90 nm mobility 219 diameter for the PN-PTI instruments verification linearity tests. The counting efficiency of the different PN-PTI 220 counters as a function of time is shown in Figs. S6-S9 for a measurement duration of 2 min.



221

Figure 3: Influence of the type of soot generator/vehicle engine (bigCAST, miniCAST 5201 BC, miniCAST 6204 C, MISG and Euro 5b diesel engine) on the counting efficiency (CE) of six different PN-PTI counters: AEM, HEPaC, DiTEST, CAP3070, DX280, and Knestel. The grey-shaded area designates the upper and lower limits in the counting efficiency as defined in the document "Commission Recommendation on particle number measurement for the periodic technical inspection of vehicles equipped with compression ignition engines" (EU, 2023).

In the case of the DX280 and DiTEST, the CEs reported for the laboratory-generated soot (GMD_{mob} of about 50-55 nm) showed an excellent agreement with the CE measured for diesel soot from a Euro 5b vehicle as shown in Fig. 4a. In all other cases, deviations were observed. These remained typically within 0.25 units in CE but in one case (for CAP3070) reached a factor of 2. Note that for real vehicle exhaust the tolerance (maximum permissible error MPE) according to German regulations is \pm 50% (PTB, 2021). In general, the data indicate that soot produced by miniCAST and bigCAST generators simulate, in most cases, the properties of diesel soot by a Euro 5b vehicle

233 satisfactorily.





Figure 4: Influence of the type of soot generator/engine (bigCAST, miniCAST 5201 BC, miniCAST 6204 C, MISG, Euro by vehicle) on the counting efficiencies (CE) of six different PN-PTI counters: AEM, HEPaC, DiTEST, CAP3070, DX280, Knestel (the Knestel and AEM counters were not challenged with the Euro 5b vehicle since the Knestel counter was sent for service and the performance of the AEM counter deteriorated during the measurement campaign at JRC). The polydisperse test aerosols had a particle number concentration of ~100'000 cm⁻³ and a GMD_{mob} of a) 50-55 nm and b) ~ 100 nm.

- As shown in Fig 4b, soot generated by the MISG ($GMD_{mob} \sim 100 \text{ nm}$) led to CEs close to 1 for the DX280,
- 242 DiTEST, Knestel and HEPaC counters, and the CEs lied within the tolerance range defined in Germany and
- 243 Switzerland (the Netherlands and Belgium only specify a tolerance range for mobility diameters up to 80 nm). The
- 244 CE limit values were only exceeded in the case of the AEM and CAP3070 counters but this was most probably
- 245 due to a deterioration of the performance of the AEM instrument or an underestimated internal correction and an
- 246 overestimated internal correction factor in the case of CAP3070. Although the size of the soot generated by the
- 247 MISG (GMD_{mob} \geq 90 nm) tends to be larger than real soot from diesel engines (Kazemimanesh et al., 2019;
- 248 Moallemi et al., 2019; Senaratne et al., 2023), it's ease of operation combined with the affordable price make it an
- attractive choice for PN-PTI verification in the laboratory.



250

251



- The variation in the counting efficiency of the PN-PTI instruments when tested with soot particles from different combustion generators (Fig. 5a) is much smaller than that observed with test aerosols such as NaCl or particles from a spark-discharge generator with a similar GMD_{mob} (Fig. 5b) (Vasilatou et al., 2023). For instance, carbonaceous particles from a GFG spark-discharge generator (Palas GmbH, Germany) led to a CE of ≥ 2 in the case of CAP3070 and 1.7-1.8 in the case of DiTEST. On the contrary, CE remained typically in the range 0.7-1.3
- 261 when soot was used as test aerosol, irrespective of the type of combustion generator (Fig. 5a). Further studies with
- 262 more diesel test vehicles would be necessary to elucidate which type of laboratory-generated soot is the best proxy
- 263 for diesel soot, keeping in mind that the properties of real diesel soot can also differ considerably, depending on
- the engine design, driving cycle and fuel properties (Hays et al., 2017; Wihersaari et al., 2020).

265 4 Recommendations

- Based on the results of this and previous studies (Vasilatou et al., 2023), the following recommendations can be
 made:
- Initial and follow-up verification of DC-based PN-PTI counters should ideally be performed with soot as test
 aerosol. If possible, the same type of combustion generator should be used for the determination of CE during
 type-examination and verification.
- 2) Low-cost soot generators can be a stable source of combustion particles and can be employed for PN-PTI
 verification using the appropriate setup correction factors. However, the GMD they produce should be in the
 range 70±20 nm in order to comply with the current linearity verification requirements in Europe.
- 3) Laboratory procedures for PN-PTI type-examination and verification should be further harmonised in Europe to avoid inconsistencies in the enforcement of PTI legislation. International round robin tests should be performed to examine whether a) the various PN-PTI instruments type-examined and verified in different European countries according to national regulations exhibit a similar performance and b) whether PN-PTI instruments verified in the same country but with different test aerosols identify defect DPFs in a consistent manner.
- 280 As highlighted in our previous study (Vasilatou et al., 2023), "setup correction factors" should be determined 281 whenever verification is performed with particles other than soot to account for the effects of the test aerosol on the instrument's counting efficiency. These "setup correction factors" depend on both the aerosol physicochemical 282 283 properties and the instrument's design, and need to be determined at the NMI level at regular intervals as drifts in 284 the performance of the aerosol generator may occur. If "setup correction factors" are not applied or are inaccurate, 285 the reliability of PTI will be compromised. The use of "setup correction factors" is more critical when nebulisers 286 or spark-discharge generators are used, but special care should also be given to different flame soot generators. 287 This calls for a closer collaboration between NMIs, state authorities, instrument manufacturers and verification 288 centres to ensure fair implementation of regulations in Europe. Further harmonisation of the different PN-PTI 289 type-examination procedures in Europe, e.g. in terms of the combustion generator, would be a valuable first step 290 in order to determine meaningful correction factors for other test aerosols.

291 5 Conclusions

- The type of soot aerosol generated by diffusion and premixed flame generators affected the response of six 292 293 different DC-based PN-PTI counters tested in this study. Size and physicochemical properties of the test aerosol 294 had effects on the CE of all counters, but the effect was different for each counter. In most cases, the different 295 laboratory-generated soot aerosols resulted in deviations of 0.25 units in the counting efficiency of individual 296 counters compared to Euro 5b diesel soot at similar mobility diameters (~50-60 nm). It is not entirely clear which 297 type of laboratory-generated soot is the best proxy for real soot emitted by diesel vehicles as the response of the 298 PN-PTI instruments to the different test aerosols was not uniform. It must also be kept in mind that the properties 299 of diesel soot may vary depending on the engine specification and operation. Nevertheless, the differences 300 observed with different soot generators were much lower compared to previous studies that used NaCl and particles 301 from spark discharge generators. This study confirms that soot aerosols, irrespective of the generator model, are 302 more suitable as test aerosols for the PN-PTI application, but special attention should be given to differences that 303 arise from different generator models or set points and consequently for their correction via appropriately defined 304 factors. In view of these results, recommendations were made with regard to PN-PTI type-examination and
- 305 verification.

306 Author contribution

- 307 All authors designed the experiments. TH, DR and AM carried out the measurement campaigns. TH analysed the
- 308 data with support from DR. KV prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

309 Competing interests

310 The authors declare no competing interests.

311 Acknowledgements

- 312 TH, DR, and KV would like to thank Kevin Auderset and Christian Wälchli (both at METAS) for technical support
- and useful discussions. AM and BG would like to thank Dominique Lesueur and Andrea Bonamin for technical
- 314 support.

315 Funding

316 No external funding was used for this study.

317 **References**

- Anon: Proposal Particulate Number Counters, [online] Available from: https://nmi.nl/special-particle-number counters/, n.d.
- 320 AU-Richtlinie: Richtlinie für die Durchführung der Untersuchung der Abgase von Kraftfahrzeugen nach Nummer
- 321 4.8.2 der Anlage VIIIa StVZO und für die Durchführung von Abgasuntersuchungen an Kraftfahrzeugen nach §
- 322 47a StVZO (AU-Richtlinie) (Stand 2021), [online] Available from: https://beck-
- 323 online.beck.de/Dokument?vpath=bibdata%5Cges%5Cbrd_013_2008_0196%5Ccont%5Cbrd_013_2008_0196.ht
- 324 m, n.d.

- 325 Bischof, O. F., Weber, P., Bundke, U., Petzold, A. and Kiendler-Scharr, A.: Characterization of the Miniaturized
- Inverted Flame Burner as a Combustion Source to Generate a Nanoparticle Calibration Aerosol, Emiss. Control
 Sci. Technol., 6(1), 37–46, doi:10.1007/S40825-019-00147-W/METRICS, 2020.
- 328 Botero, M. L., Londoño, J., Agudelo, A. F. and Agudelo, J. R.: Particle Number Emission for Periodic Technical
- 329 Inspection in a Bus Rapid Transit System, Emiss. Control Sci. Technol., 9, 128–139, doi:10.2139/ssrn.4246867,
- 330 2023.
- 331 Burtscher, H., Lutz, T. and Mayer, A.: A New Periodic Technical Inspection for Particle Emissions of Vehicles,
- 332 Emiss. Control Sci. Technol. 2019 53, 5(3), 279–287, doi:10.1007/S40825-019-00128-Z, 2019.
- 333 Dhaniyala, S., Fierz, M., Keskinen, J. and Marjamäki, M.: Instruments Based on Electrical Detection of Aerosols
- 334 Aerosol Measurement, in Aerosol Measurement: Principles, Techniques, and Applications, edited by P. Kulkarni,
- P. A. Baron, and K. Willeke, pp. 393–416, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey., 2011.
- 336 Ess, M. N. and Vasilatou, K.: Characterization of a new miniCAST with diffusion flame and premixed flame
- 337 options: Generation of particles with high EC content in the size range 30 nm to 200 nm, Aerosol Sci. Technol.,
- 338 53(1), 29–44, doi:10.1080/02786826.2018.1536818, 2019.
- 339 Ess, M. N., Bertò, M., Keller, A., Gysel-Beer, M. and Vasilatou, K.: Coated soot particles with tunable, well-
- 340 controlled properties generated in the laboratory with a miniCAST BC and a micro smog chamber, J. Aerosol Sci.,
- 341 157, 105820, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2021.105820, 2021a.
- 342 Ess, M. N., Bertò, M., Irwin, M., Modini, R. L., Gysel-Beer, M. and Vasilatou, K.: Optical and morphological
- 343 properties of soot particles generated by the miniCAST 5201 BC generator, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 55(7), 828–
- 344 847, doi:10.1080/02786826.2021.1901847, 2021b.
- 345 EU: COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION (EU) 2023/688 of 20 March 2023 on particle number measurement
- for the periodic technical inspection of vehicles equipped with compression ignition engines, Off. J. Eur. Union,
- 347(715),46–64[online]Availablefrom:https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-348content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32023H0688,2023.
- 349 Fierz, M., Houle, C., Steigmeier, P. and Burtscher, H.: Design, calibration, and field performance of a miniature
- diffusion size classifier, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45(1), 1–10, doi:10.1080/02786826.2010.516283, 2011.
- 351 Filippov, A. V., Zurita, M. and Rosner, D. E.: Fractal-like aggregates: Relation between morphology and physical
- 352 properties, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 229(1), 261–273, doi:10.1006/jcis.2000.7027, 2000.
- 353 Frank, Adam, Olfert, J., Wong, K.-F., Kunert, S. and Richter, J. M.: Effect of Engine-Out Soot Emissions and the
- 354 Frequency of Regeneration on Gasoline Particulate Filter Efficiency, SAE Tech. Pap., 2020-01-14,
- 355 doi:10.4271/2020-01-1431,2020.
- 356 Giechaskiel, B. and Melas, A.: Comparison of Particle Sizers and Counters with Soot-like, Salt, and Silver
- 357 Particles, Atmosphere (Basel)., 13(10), doi:10.3390/atmos13101675, 2022.
- 358 Giechaskiel, B., Ntziachristos, L. and Samaras, Z.: Effect of ejector dilutors on measurements of automotive
- 359 exhaust gas aerosol size distributions, Meas. Sci., 20, 045703, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/20/4/045703, 2009.
- 360 Giechaskiel, B., Forloni, F., Carriero, M., Baldini, G., Castellano, P., Vermeulen, R., Kontses, D., Fragkiadoulakis,
- 361 P., Samaras, Z. and Fontaras, G.: Effect of Tampering on On-Road and Off-Road Diesel Vehicle Emissions,
- 362 Sustainability, 14(10), 6065, doi:10.3390/su14106065, 2022.
- 363 Hays, M. D., Preston, W., George, B. J., George, I. J., Snow, R., Faircloth, J., Long, T., Baldauf, R. W. and
- 364 McDonald, J.: Temperature and Driving Cycle Significantly Affect Carbonaceous Gas and Particle Matter
- Emissions from Diesel Trucks, Energy and Fuels, 31(10), 11034–11042, doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.7b01446,

- 366 2017.
- 367 Kazemimanesh, M., Moallemi, A., Thomson, K., Smallwood, G., Lobo, P. and Olfert, J. S.: A novel miniature
- inverted-flame burner for the generation of soot nanoparticles, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 53(2), 184–195,
 doi:10.1080/02786826.2018.1556774, 2019.
- 370 Kesselmeier, J. and Staudt, M.: An Overview on Emission, Physiology and Ecology, J. Atmos. Chem., 33, 23-88
- 371[online]Availablefrom:https://link-springer-
- $372 \qquad com.ez 27.periodicos.capes.gov.br/content/pdf/10.1023\% 2FA\% 3A1006127516791.pdf, 1999.$
- 373 Kheirbek, I., Haney, J., Douglas, S., Ito, K. and Matte, T.: The contribution of motor vehicle emissions to ambient
- 374 fine particulate matter public health impacts in New York City: A health burden assessment, Environ. Heal. A
- 375 Glob. Access Sci. Source, 15(1), doi:10.1186/s12940-016-0172-6, 2016.
- Kurniawan, A. and Schmidt-Ott, A.: Monitoring the soot emissions of passing cars, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40(6),
 1911–1915, doi:10.1021/es051140h, 2006.
- 378 Lehtimäki, M.: Modified Electrical Aerosol Detector, in Aerosols in the Mining and Industrial Work
- 379 Environments: Instrumentation (Vol. 3), edited by B. Y. H. Liu and V. A. Marple, pp. 1135–1143, Ann Arbor
- 380 Science Publishers., 1983.
- 381 Ligterink, N. E.: Diesel Particle Filters., 2018.
- 382 Mandelbrot, B. B.: The fractal geometry of nature, Freeman, W. H., San Francisco., 1982.
- Melas, A., Selleri, T., Suarez-Bertoa, R. and Giechaskiel, B.: Evaluation of solid particle number sensors for
 periodic technical inspection of passenger cars, Sensors, 21(24), 8325, doi:10.3390/s21248325, 2021.
- 385 Melas, A., Selleri, T., Suarez-Bertoa, R. and Giechaskiel, B.: Evaluation of Measurement Procedures for Solid
- 386 Particle Number (SPN) Measurements during the Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) of Vehicles, Int. J. Environ.
- 387 Res. Public Health, 19(13), 7602, doi:10.3390/ijerph19137602, 2022.
- 388 Melas, A., Vasilatou, K., Suarez-Bertoa, R. and Giechaskiel, B.: Laboratory measurements with solid particle
- number instruments designed for periodic technical inspection (PTI) of vehicles, Measurement, 215(April),
 112839, doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2023.112839, 2023.
- 391 Melas, A. D., Isella, L., Konstandopoulos, A. G. and Drossinos, Y.: Friction coefficient and mobility radius of
- 392 fractal-like aggregates in the transition regime, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 48(12), 1320-1331,
- 393 doi:10.1080/02786826.2014.985781,2014.
- 394 Moallemi, A., Kazemimanesh, M., Corbin, J. C., Thomson, K., Smallwood, G., Olfert, J. S. and Lobo, P.:
- Characterization of black carbon particles generated by a propane-fueled miniature inverted soot generator, J.
 Aerosol Sci., 135,46–57, doi:10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2019.05.004, 2019.
- 397 Olfert, J. and Rogak, S.: Universal relations between soot effective density and primary particle size for common
- 398 combustion sources, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 53(5), 485–492, doi:10.1080/02786826.2019.1577949, 2019.
- 399 Pang, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Liu, L., Kong, S., Liu, F., Shi, Z. and Li, W.: Quantifying the Fractal
- Dimension and Morphology of Individual Atmospheric Soot Aggregates, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 127(5),
 e2021JD036055, doi:10.1029/2021JD036055, 2022.
- 402 Pang, Y., Chen, M., Wang, Y., Chen, X., Teng, X., Kong, S., Zheng, Z. and Li, W.: Morphology and Fractal
- Dimension of Size-Resolved Soot Particles Emitted From Combustion Sources, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 128(6),
- 404 e2022JD037711,doi:10.1029/2022JD037711,2023.
- 405 PTB: PTB-Anforderungen 12.16 "Partikelzähler" (05/2021)., [online] Available from:
 406 https://doi.org/10.7795/510.20210623,2021.

- 407 Senaratne, A., Olfert, J., Smallwood, G., Liu, F., Lobo, P. and Corbin, J. C.: Size and light absorption of miniature-
- inverted-soot-generator particles during operation with various fuel mixtures, J. Aerosol Sci., 170(February),
 106144, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2023.106144, 2023.
- 410 Sorensen, C. M.: The mobility of fractal aggregates: A review, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45(7), 765–779,
 411 doi:10.1080/02786826.2011.560909,2011.
- 412 Tavakoli, F. and Olfert, J. S.: Determination of particle mass, effective density, mass-mobility exponent, and
- 413 dynamic shape factor using an aerodynamic aerosol classifier and a differential mobility analyzer in tandem, J.
- 414 Aerosol Sci., 75, 35–42, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2014.04.010, 2014.
- 415 US-EPA: Integrated Science Assessment for Particulate Matter. [online] Available from:
 416 https://www.epa.gov/isa/integrated-science-assessment-isa-particulate-matter, 2019.
- 417 UVEK: Verordnung des UVEK über Wartung und Nachkontrolle von Motorwagen betreffend Abgas- und
- 418 Rauchemissionen (SR 741.437), 1–18 [online] Available from: https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/2002/596/de,
 419 2023.
- 420 VAMV: Verordnung des EJPD über Abgasmessmittel für Verbrennungsmotoren (VAMV), [online] Available
- 421 from: https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/2006/251/de, 2018.
- 422 Vasilatou, K., Kok, P., Pratzler, S., Nowak, A., Waheed, A., Buekenhoudt, P., Auderset, K. and Andres, H.: New
- 423 periodic technical inspection of diesel engines based on particle number concentration measurements, OIML Bull.,
- 424 LXIII, 11–16 [online] Available from:
- $\label{eq:linear} 425 \qquad https://www.oiml.org/en/publications/bulletin/pdf/oiml_bulletin_july_2022.pdf, 2022.$
- 426 Vasilatou, K., Wälchli, C., Auderset, K., Burtscher, H., Hammer, T., Giechaskiel, B. and Melas, A.: Effects of the
- 427 test aerosol on the performance of periodic technical inspection particle counters, J. Aerosol Sci., 172(January),
- 428 doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2023.106182,2023.
- 429 Wang, Y., Liu, F., He, C., Bi, L., Cheng, T., Wang, Z., Zhang, H., Zhang, X., Shi, Z. and Li, W.: Fractal
- 430 Dimensions and Mixing Structures of Soot Particles during Atmospheric Processing, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.,
- 431 4(September 2019), 487–493, doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00418, 2017.
- WHO: WHO global air quality guidelines, Coast. Estuar. Process., 1–360 [online] Available from:
 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329,2021.
- 434 Wihersaari, H., Pirjola, L., Karjalainen, P., Saukko, E., Kuuluvainen, H., Kulmala, K., Keskinen, J. and Rönkkö,
- 435 T.: Particulate emissions of a modern diesel passenger car under laboratory and real-world transient driving
- 436 conditions, Environ. Pollut., 265, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114948, 2020.
- 437