Influence of soot aerosol properties on the counting efficiency of PN-PTI instruments
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Abstract. In this work, we investigated the influence of different types of soot aerosol on the counting efficiency (CE) of instruments employed for the periodic technical inspection (PTI) of diesel vehicles. Such instruments report particle number (PN) concentration. Combustion aerosols were generated by a prototype bigCAST, a miniCAST 5201 BC, a miniCAST 6204 C and a miniature inverted soot generator (MISG). For comparison purposes, diesel soot was generated by a Euro 5b diesel test vehicle with by-passed diesel particulate filter (DPF). The size-dependent counting efficiency profile of six PN-PTI instruments was determined with each one of the aforementioned test aerosols. The results showed that the type of soot aerosol affected the response of the PN-PTI sensors in an individualised manner. Consequently, it was difficult to identify trends and draw conclusive results about which laboratory-generated soot is the best proxy for diesel soot. Deviations in the counting efficiency remained typically within 0.25 units when using laboratory-generated soot compared to Euro 5b diesel soot of similar mobility diameter (~50-60 nm). Soot with a mobility diameter of ~100 nm generated by the MISG, the lowest size we could achieve, resulted in similar counting efficiencies as that generated by the different CAST generators for most of the PN-PTI instruments, showing that MISG may be a satisfactory and affordable option for PN-PTI verification.

1 Introduction

Soot particles emitted by transport sources can have adverse health effects (Kheirbek et al., 2016; US-EPA, 2019; WHO, 2021). To reduce particulate emissions, new procedures for the periodic technical inspection (PTI) of diesel vehicles based on the measurement of particle number (PN) concentration have recently been established in Switzerland, Germany, the Netherlands and Belgium, while other countries might follow in due time (EU, 2023; Vasilatou et al., 2022). Portable instruments known as PN-PTI counters are used for measuring particle number concentration (PNC) directly in the tailpipe of diesel vehicles equipped with a diesel particle filter (DPF) (Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Melas et al., 2021, 2022, 2023). When the DPF is intact, the emitted PNC is low (typically up to a few thousand particles per cm³), whereas if the DPF is defect or tampered, PNC increases to several hundred thousand particles per cm³ (Botero et al., 2023; Burtscher et al., 2019; Giechaskiel et al., 2022). In terms of particle mass concentration, a functioning DPF can reduce particulate emissions by up to a factor of 150 (Ligerink, 2018) while in terms of particle number concentration a solid particle number trapping efficiency of higher than 99 % has been reported in the literature (Frank, Adam et al., 2020). It has been shown that a small fraction (about 10 %) of vehicles with defective DPF is responsible for up to 80-90 % of the total fleet emissions (Burtscher et al., 2019; Kurniawan and Schmidt-Ott, 2006). The goal of PN-PTI procedures is to identify diesel vehicles with compromised DPFs, thus ensuring that vehicles in operation maintain their...
performance as guaranteed by type-approval, without excessive degradation, throughout their lifetime (EU, 2023).

Although the concept of PN-PTI is simple, its implementation in practice is not as straightforward. PTI procedures are not fully harmonised and, as a result, the limit values for the emitted PNC, the technical specifications of the PN-PTI counters and the test protocol for type-examination and verification are defined at a national level (Anon, 2019; AU-Richtlinie, n.d.; PTB, 2021; UVEK, 2023; VAMV, 2018; Vasilatou et al., 2022, 2023). Differences in national legislations might lead to contradicting results, e.g. the same diesel vehicle might pass the PTI check in one country but fail in another one. To ensure fair implementation of regulations across Europe and avoid unnecessary costs which may occur for vehicle owners after a False Fail, the various PTI procedures must be compared and the differences elucidated.

In our previous study (Vasilatou et al., 2023), we showed that the choice of test aerosol during type-examination or verification of PN-PTI instruments significantly affects the performance of instruments based on diffusion charging (DC). When sodium chloride (NaCl) or carbonaceous particles from spark-discharge generators were used as test aerosols, the counting efficiency of the DC-based instruments changed by up to a factor of two compared to that exhibited with diesel soot. The experiments clearly showed that soot from laboratory-based combustion generators was the best proxy for soot emitted by diesel engines, however, potential differences between the different combustion generators available on the market were not investigated.

In this study, we challenged six different DC-based PN-PTI instruments with soot particles produced by three different CAST generators (Jing AG, Switzerland), the miniature inverted soot generator (MISG, Argonaut Scientific, Canada) and a Euro 5b diesel vehicle. The size-dependent counting efficiency of the PN-PTI instruments was determined by using a condensation particle counter (NPET 3795, TSI Inc., USA) as a reference instrument. We discuss the results in the context of the different national legislations and make recommendations for the harmonisation of the various calibration and verification procedures in the laboratory.

2 Materials and methods

During the first measurement campaign at METAS, the following laboratory-based generators were used to produce test aerosols: a prototype bigCAST, a miniCAST 5201 BC (Ess et al., 2021b; Ess and Vasilatou, 2019), a miniCAST 6204 C and the miniature inverted soot generator (MISG) (Giechaskiel and Melas, 2022; Kazemimanesh et al., 2019; Moallemi et al., 2019; Senaratne et al., 2023). By varying the operation points of the CAST generators, polydisperse aerosols with a geometric mean mobility diameter (GMD$_\text{mob}$) ranging from 50 nm to 100 nm were generated, as summarised in Fig. S1. In the case of the MISG, particles with a GMD$_\text{mob}$ down to 100 nm were produced in a repeatable and stable manner using a mixture of dimethyl ether and propane (Senaratne et al., 2023).

The counting efficiency profiles (CE) of six DC-based PN-PTI counters, namely the AEM (TEN, the Netherlands), HEPaC (developed by the University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland and distributed by Naneos GmbH, Switzerland), DiTEST (AVL DiTEST, Austria), CAP3070 (Capelec, France), DX280 (Continental Aftermarket & Services GmbH, Germany) and AIP PDC KG4 (referred to as Knestel hereafter, KNESTEL Technologie & Elektronik GmbH, Germany). The HEpaC, DiTEST, CAP3070 and DX280 had been type-approved at METAS according to the Swiss regulations (VAMV, 2018) whereas the Knestel instrument had been type-approved according to the German regulation (AU-Richtlinie, n.d.). The experimental
setup at METAS is depicted in Fig. 1a. Soot produced by CAST-burners or the MISG was passed through a catalytic stripper (Catalytic Instruments GmbH, Germany), a Nafion dryer (MD-700-12S-1, PERMA PURE, U.S.A.), a VKL 10 diluter (Palas GmbH, Germany) and a custom-made dilution bridge, and was mixed in a 27-ml-volume chamber. The aerosol was split with a custom-made 8-port flow splitter and delivered simultaneously to the devices under test (DUT, in this case PN-PTI instrument) and the reference particle counter (NPET 3795, TSI Inc., USA). NPET had been calibrated in a traceable manner according to the ISO 27891 standard, and showed a CE of 0.77 ± 0.02, 0.77 ± 0.01, 0.80 ± 0.01 and 0.79 ± 0.02 at a GMD of 50 nm, 70 nm, 80 nm and 100 nm, respectively.

Figure 1: a) Experimental setup for the verification of PN-PTI instruments in the laboratory. Four different combustion generators were used (see text for more details). DUT stands for device under test. Dashed arrows designate measurements which were performed separately, i.e. not in parallel with PN-PTI verification. b) Experimental setup as used for field measurements at JRC.

Mobility size distributions were recorded simultaneously by a scanning mobility particle sizer (Kr source 3077A, DMA 3081 and butanol CPC 3776, TSI Inc., USA). To analyse the morphology of the soot particles, particles were sampled for 5 s with a flow rate of 1.2 L/min downstream the Nafion dryer, collected on copper-coated TEM (transmission electron microscopy) grids placed in a mini particle sampler (MPS, Ecomeasure, France) and analysed with a Spirit Transmission EM (Tecnai, FEI Company, USA). Soot particles were also sampled on QR-100 Advantec filters (Toyo Roshi Kaisha, Ltd, Japan, preheated at 500 °C for > 1 h) for durations of 15 – 30 min. Elemental carbon (EC) to total carbon (TC) mass fractions were measured with an OC/EC Model 5L analyser (Sunset Laboratory Inc., NL) by applying an extended EUSAAR-2 protocol (Ess et al., 2021b, 2021a).

In a second measurement campaign at JRC, the HEPAc, DiTEST, CAP3070 and DX280 counters were challenged with real diesel engine exhaust from a Euro 5b vehicle. Fig. 1b depicts the experimental setup at JRC.
Soot from engine exhaust was passed through a water trap, a heated line (150 °C) to avoid water condensation, an ejector dilutor (DI-1000, Dekati, Finland), a catalytic stripper (Catalytic Instruments GmbH, Germany) to remove (semi)volatile organic matter, and was diluted to the required concentrations with a custom-made dilution bridge. It has been shown that the ejector dilutor does not affect the particle size distribution (Giechaskiel et al., 2009). PNC was recorded for several minutes, which allowed identifying long-time trends or drifts of the reported PNC. In addition, PNCs were averaged over a period of 1 min, thus the duration was similar to the duration of real PN-PTI tests which varies from 15 to 90 s. Mobility size distributions were measured by an SMPS, consisting of an $^{85}$Kr source (3077A, TSI Inc., U.S.A.; purchased in 2021), a DMA 3081 and a CPC 3010 (TSI Inc., USA).

A Euro 5b vehicle with by-passed DPF was tested as real source of diesel soot. The vehicle generated size distributions with a GMD$_{mob}$ of 56.4 nm ± 0.7 nm. Diesel particles from the Euro 5b vehicle were collected on TEM grids and analysed as described above.

### Table 1: Physical properties of the soot aerosols produced by the various combustion generators and the Euro 5b engine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soot generator</th>
<th>Setpoint</th>
<th>$GMD_{mob}$ (nm)</th>
<th>GSD (nm)</th>
<th>EC/TC mass fraction (%)*</th>
<th>$d_{pp}$ (nm)**</th>
<th>$\rho_{eff}$ (g/cm$^3$)***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISG</td>
<td>100 nm</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>86.2 ± 10</td>
<td>9.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miniCAST 6204 C</td>
<td>50 nm</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>57.2 ± 8.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 nm</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>27.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 nm</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>77.8 ± 9.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 nm</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>41.9 ± 6.5</td>
<td>21.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miniCAST 5201 BC</td>
<td>50 nm</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>100 ± 18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 nm fuel-lean</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>94.6 ± 15.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 nm fuel-rich</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>73.7 ± 11.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 nm</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>98.1 ± 15.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 nm fuel-lean</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>97.4 ± 9.6</td>
<td>15.8 ± 3.5†</td>
<td>~ 0.4†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 nm fuel-rich</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>65.7 ± 10.0</td>
<td>Primary particles are partly merged†</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.16†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigCAST</td>
<td>50 nm</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>50.9 ± 11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 nm</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>62.2 ± 13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 nm</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>81.2 ± 8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Results

3.1 Aerosol properties

The properties of the soot aerosols are summarised in Table 1.
The effective density was determined for the 100 nm setpoints using an Aerodynamic Aerosol Classifier (AAC, Cambustion, UK) and a DMA (TSI Inc., USA) as described in (Tavakoli and Olfert, 2014). The lowest effective density (0.35 ± 0.02 g/cm³) was found for particles generated by the miniCAST 6204 C. Considering that these particles contain a high amount of OC, this value might seem at first glance to be low, but can be explained by the highly fractal-like structure of soot (Fig. 2e). In comparison, the miniCAST 5201 BC produced particles with an effective density of 1.04 ± 0.08 g/cm³ when operated under fuel-rich conditions (i.e. high OC mass fraction), which is in line with the more compact structure as shown in (Ess et al., 2021b). Similarly, the MISG generated particles with an effective density of 0.91 ± 0.02 g/cm³. 100 nm particles generated by the bigCAST exhibited an intermediate effective density of 0.66 ± 0.02 g/cm³.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>100 nm</th>
<th>98.9</th>
<th>1.60</th>
<th>100.0 ± 9.0</th>
<th>24.5 ± 1.8</th>
<th>0.66 ± 0.04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro 5b</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.00</td>
<td>83.5 ± 20.5</td>
<td>19.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Uncertainties of the EC/TC mass fraction (downstream of the CS) are estimated to be in the range of 10-15 %.

** Uncertainties due to the split point could not be quantified and were not taken into account.

** Expanded uncertainty (k = 2, 95 % confidence interval) determined as the twofold standard deviation of \( d_{pp} \), of at least 20 primary particles of various mature soot particles divided by the square route of the number of measurements.

*** Expanded uncertainty (k = 2, 95 % confidence interval) determined as the twofold standard deviation of three measurements.

† Taken from (Ess et al., 2021b).

Figure 2: TEM images of polydisperse soot particles generated by a) the miniCAST 5201 BC (GMD_{mob} of ~100 nm, fuel-lean setpoint); b) the MISG (GMD_{mob} of ~100 nm); c) by the Euro 5b test vehicle (GMD_{mob} of ~55 nm); d) the prototype bigCAST (GMD_{mob} of ~100 nm); and e) by the miniCAST 6204 C (GMD_{mob} of ~100 nm). Further images are compiled in Fig. S2-S5 and in (Ess et al., 2021b).
Soot particles generated by the bigCAST with a GMD$_{mob}$ of ~ 100 nm consist of primary particles with a diameter $d_{pp} = 24.5$ nm $\pm 1.8$ nm, whereas those from miniCAST 5201 BC (fuel lean setpoint) have an average primary particle size of 12.3 nm $\pm 3.7$ nm at a similar GMD$_{mob}$. Soot generated by the MISG had a much smaller primary particle size ($d_{pp}$ of 9.2 nm $\pm 3.8$ nm). The TEM images in Figs. 2b and S3 revealed a more compact soot structure than what reported by (Kazemimanesh et al., 2019) who used ethylene as fuel. This observation is in line with the relatively high particle effective density (0.91 g/cm$^3$) reported above.

### 3.2 Counting efficiency profiles of PN-PTI counters

The CE profiles of the PN-PTI instruments under test were determined by dividing the reported number concentration by that measured with a reference condensation particle counter (NPET 3795, TSI Inc., USA).

Figure 3 summarises the results obtained with the various laboratory-based combustion generators and the Euro 5b diesel vehicle. In general, the CE of PN-PTI instruments increased with increasing GMD$_{mob}$, in line with previous studies (Melas et al., 2023; Vasilatou et al., 2023). In the case of CAP3070, CE started to decrease at GMD$_{mob} \geq$ 65 nm, most probably due to built-in correction factors. In general, for each PN-PTI instrument, the differences in CE when challenged with different soot aerosols of similar particle size were $< 0.25$ at 50 nm and increased with size, but remained typically lower than 0.5. Higher differences were observed for CAP3070 at around 100 nm, probably related to the internal correction factors. This indicates that the exact morphology (e.g. primary particle size, effective density) of the test aerosol had an effect on instrument performance. The response of each PN-PTI model was, however, individual, making it difficult to draw any general trends. For instance, the CE of the HePaC was higher when measuring soot particles from the miniCAST 6204 C compared to soot of similar GMD$_{mob}$ from the bigCAST. CAP3070 showed the opposite behaviour. At a GMD$_{mob}$ of ~100 nm, DX280 exhibited a higher CE with soot particles generated by the miniCAST 5201 BC under fuel-rich conditions (i.e. lower EC/TC mass fraction) than at fuel-lean conditions (higher EC/TC mass fraction). CAP3070 showed again the opposite behaviour. It is also worth mentioning that for the HePAC and DX280 instruments the measured CE values scattered more at particle sizes larger than 90 nm. This supports the choice of soot with 50-90 nm mobility diameter for the PN-PTI instruments verification linearity tests.
Figure 3: Influence of the type of soot generator/vehicle engine (bigCAST, miniCAST 5201 BC, miniCAST 6204 C, MISG and Euro 5b diesel engine) on the counting efficiency (CE) of six different PN-PTI counters: AEM, HEPaC, DiTEST, CAP3070, DX280, and Knestel. The grey-shaded area designates the upper and lower limits in the counting efficiency as defined in the document “Commission Recommendation on particle number measurement for the periodic technical inspection of vehicles equipped with compression ignition engines” (EU, 2023).

In the case of the DX280 and DiTEST, the CEs reported for the laboratory-generated soot (GMD$_{mob}$ of about 50-55 nm) showed an excellent agreement with the CE measured for diesel soot from a Euro 5b vehicle as shown in Fig. 4a. In all other cases, deviations were observed. These remained typically within 0.25 units in CE but in one case (for CAP3070) reached a factor of 2. Note that for real vehicle exhaust the tolerance (maximum permissible error MPE) according to German regulations is ± 50% (PTB, 2021). In general, the data indicate that soot produced by miniCAST and bigCAST generators simulate, in most cases, the properties of diesel soot by a Euro 5b vehicle satisfactorily.

Figure 4: Influence of the type of soot generator/engine (bigCAST, miniCAST 5201 BC, miniCAST 6204 C, MISG, Euro 5b vehicle) on the counting efficiencies (CE) of six different PN-PTI counters: AEM, HEPaC, DiTEST, CAP3070, DX280, Knestel (the Knestel counter was not challenged with the Euro 5b vehicle since the Knestel counter was sent for service and the performance of the AEM counter deteriorated during the measurement campaign at JRC). The polydisperse test aerosols had a particle number concentration of ~100'000 cm$^{-3}$ and a GMD$_{max}$ of a) 50-55 nm and b) ~ 100 nm.
As shown in Fig 4b, soot generated by the MISG (GMD mob ~ 100 nm) led to CEs close to 1 for the DX280, DiTEST, Knestel and HEPaC counters, and the CEs lied within the tolerance range defined in Germany and Switzerland (the Netherlands and Belgium only specify a tolerance range for mobility diameters up to 80 nm). The CE limit values were only exceeded in the case of the AEM and CAP3070 counters but this was most probably due to a deterioration of the performance of the AEM instrument or an underestimated internal correction and an overestimated internal correction factor in the case of CAP3070. Although the size of the soot generated by the MISG (GMD mob ≥90 nm) tends to be larger than real soot from diesel engines (Kazemimanesh et al., 2019; Moallemi et al., 2019; Senaratne et al., 2023), its ease of operation combined with the affordable price make it an attractive choice for PN-PTI verification in the laboratory.

Figure 5: a) Influence of different soot aerosols with a GMDmob of ~80 nm on the counting efficiencies (CE) of three different PN-PTI counters. b) Influence of different test aerosols (soot, NaCl and carbonaceous particles from a spark-discharge generator) on the counting efficiencies (CE) of the same PN-PTI counters. The test aerosols had a GMDmob of ~80 nm. The data points are taken from (Vasilatou et al., 2023).

The variation in the counting efficiency of the PN-PTI instruments when tested with soot particles from different combustion generators (Fig. 5a) is much smaller than that observed with test aerosols such as NaCl or particles from a spark-discharge generator with a similar GMDmob (Fig. 5b) (Vasilatou et al., 2023). For instance, carbonaceous particles from a GFG spark-discharge generator (Palas GmbH, Germany) led to a CE of ≥2 in the case of CAP3070 and 1.7-1.8 in the case of DiTEST. On the contrary, CE remained typically in the range 0.7-1.3 when soot was used as test aerosol, irrespective of the type of combustion generator (Fig. 5a). Further studies with more diesel test vehicles would be necessary to elucidate which type of laboratory-generated soot is the best proxy for diesel soot, keeping in mind that the properties of real diesel soot can also differ considerably, depending on the engine design, driving cycle and fuel properties (Hays et al., 2017; Whersaari et al., 2020).

### 4 Recommendations

Based on the results of this study, the following recommendations can be made:

1) Initial and follow-up verification of DC-based PN-PTI counters should ideally be performed with soot as test aerosol. If possible, the same type of combustion generator should be used for the determination of CE during type-examination and verification.
2) Low-cost soot generators can be a stable source of combustion particles and can be employed for PN-PTI verification using the appropriate setup correction factors. However, the GMD they produce should be in the range 70±20 nm in order to comply with the current linearity verification requirements in Europe.

3) Laboratory procedures for PN-PTI type-examination and verification should be further harmonised in Europe to avoid inconsistencies in the enforcement of PTI legislation. International round robin tests should be performed to examine whether a) the various PN-PTI instruments type-examined and verified in different European countries according to national regulations exhibit a similar performance and b) whether PN-PTI instruments verified in the same country but with different test aerosols identify defect DPFs in a consistent manner.

As highlighted in our previous study (Vasilatou et al., 2023), “setup correction factors” should be determined whenever verification is performed with particles other than soot to account for the effects of the test aerosol on the instrument's counting efficiency. These “setup correction factors” depend on both the aerosol physicochemical properties and the instrument's design, and need to be determined at the NMI level at regular intervals as drifts in the performance of the aerosol generator may occur. If “setup correction factors” are not applied or are inaccurate, the reliability of PTI will be compromised. The use of “setup correction factors” is more critical when nebulisers or spark-discharge generators are used, but special care should also be given to different flame soot generators. This calls for a closer collaboration between NMIs, state authorities, instrument manufacturers and verification centres to ensure fair implementation of regulations in Europe. Further harmonisation of the different PN-PTI type-examination procedures in Europe, e.g. in terms of the combustion generator, would be a valuable first step in order to determine meaningful correction factors for other test aerosols.

5 Conclusions

The type of soot aerosol affected the response of six different DC-based PN-PTI counters tested in this study. Size and physicochemical properties of the test aerosol had effects on the CE of all counters. In most cases, the different laboratory-generated soot aerosols resulted in deviations of 0.25 units in the counting efficiency of individual counters compared to Euro 5b diesel soot at similar mobility diameters (~50-60 nm). It is not entirely clear which type of laboratory-generated soot is the best proxy for real soot emitted by diesel vehicles as the response of the PN-PTI instruments to the different test aerosols was not uniform. It must also be kept in mind that the properties of diesel soot may vary depending on the engine specification and operation. Nevertheless, this study confirms that soot aerosols, irrespective of the generator model, are more suitable as test aerosols than NaCl, oil or particles from spark discharge generators. In view of these results, recommendations were made with regard to PN-PTI type-examination and verification.
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