









285 it with the NAIS at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Finland. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the



![](_page_6_Picture_387.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_483.jpeg)

- formation rate is at its highest.
- 482 483

484 **4. Conclusions and summary**

**Deleted:** We used the appearance time method (e.g. Lehtipalo et al., 2014) from channel 3 and the difference between channels 2 and 3. The difference in channels gives the concentration in size range of 2.0–2.3 nm. The peak in the eduction efficiency curve of channel 3 is approximately 2.9 nm (see Figure 1). So comparing the appearance time in those channels we can determine the growth rate from 2.1 to 2.9 nm to be about 1.0 nm/h. This value can be considered a very realistic one, as the earlier long-term measurements at the<br>same site indicate typical growth rates between about 1 and 2<br>nm/h for sub-3 nm ions (Hirsikko et al., 2005; Manninen et al., 2010). We should note, however, that it is not possible to determine growth rates for all measurement days using the procedure illustrated in Figure 7. This is because even if an increase in ion concentrations was observed, the signal might<br>be too noisy, making the determination of appearance times<br>too unreliable. In addition, not all days exhibited a clear delay **502** between the two appearance times, making the determination of growth rate impossible. 504 **Deleted:** e

![](_page_7_Picture_484.jpeg)

511 **Deleted:** 10

![](_page_8_Picture_510.jpeg)

534 determined particle number size distributions shows that we can get estimates that are within  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$  535 a factor three of the real CS<sub> $\sim$ </sub> Therefore, we can conclude that the CIC is an effective a factor three of the real  $CS_{\bullet}$ . Therefore, we can conclude that the CIC is an effective

536 instrument to observe LIIF and CS. Since CIC is ca seven times cheaper and requires less

537 maintenance than NAIS, with CIC one can have more observation locations and have wider

538 data coverage than with NAIS. However, if we want to investigate aerosol formation and  $\frac{1}{2}$  orowth rates for the nucleation mode (3–25 nm), as is usually the case in investigating

growth rates for the nucleation mode  $(3-25 \text{ nm})$ , as is usually the case in investigating

540 regional NPF, NAIS measurements are needed.

# 541<br>542

512

# 542 **Author contribution**

543 544 Markku Kulmala had the original idea after discussions with Heikki Junninen. SM and PK

545 developed the CIC. LA performed CIC and NAIS comparison in Hyytiälä. ST and MK

546 analyzed the data. VMK and MK derived the used equations. YL lead the observations in

547 Beijing and TP in Hyytiälä. HJ, VMK, TP and ST contributed to developing the idea further.

548 MK, VMK and ST wrote the first version of the paper. All coauthors contributed the final version of the paper. version of the paper.

## 550<br>551 551 **Competing interests**

552

553 Markku Kulmala is a member of the editorial board of Aerosol Research. The authors have no other competing interests to declare. no other competing interests to declare.

# 555

# 556 **Acknowledgements**

557 We acknowledge the following projects: ACCC Flagship funded by the Academy of Finland

558 grant number 337549 (UH) and 337552 (FMI), Academy professorship funded by the

559 Academy of Finland (grant no. 302958), Academy of Finland projects no. 1325656, 311932,

560 334792, 316114, 325647, 325681, 347782, "Quantifying carbon sink, CarbonSink+ and their

![](_page_8_Picture_511.jpeg)

**Deleted:** The comparison of the estimated condensation sinks based on ion measurements using CIC and NAIS with the observed ones in Hyytiälä and Beijing demonstratesshows 576 **Deleted:** shows

**Deleted:** that the CIC, together with the simple theoretical framework, is able to give relatively accurate estimates on the condensation sink, coagulationion sink of ions,

## 580 **Deleted:** ion

**Deleted:** sink of ions,

## 582 **Deleted:** s

Deleted: and ion formation rates.

**Deleted:** We also compared the CIC with the NAIS in 585 Hyytiälä, which demonstrates that the measured ion concentrations agree pretty well..

587 interaction with air quality" INAR project funded by Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, 588 "Gigacity" project funded by Wihuri foundation, European Research Council (ERC) project

589 ATM-GTP Contract No. 742206, European Union via Non-CO2 Forcers and their Climate,

590 Weather, Air Quality and Health Impacts (FOCI), and Estonian Research Council project

591 PRG71. University of Helsinki support via ACTRIS-HY is acknowledged. University of

592 Helsinki Doctoral Programme in Atmospheric Sciences and the High-End Foreign Expert

593 Recruitment Program of China (G2023106004L) is acknowledged. Support of the technical 594 and scientific staff in Hyytiälä SMEAR II station and AHL/BUCT station in Beijing are

- 595 acknowledged.
- 596

#### 597 **References** 598

Aalto, P., Hämeri, K., Becker, E., Weber, R., Salm, J., Mäkelä, J. M., Hoell, C., O'dowd, C. D., Hansson, H.-C., Väkevä, M., Koponen, I. K., Buzorius, G., and Kulmala, M.: Physical characterization of aerosol particles during nucleation events, Tellus B, 53, 344–358, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2001.530403.x, 2001.

Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, V.- M., Kondo, Y., Liao, H., Lohmann, U., Rasch, P., Satheesh, S., Sherwood, S., Stevens, B., and Zhan, X.: Clouds and Aerosols, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T., Qin, D., Plattner, G., Tignor, M., Allen, S., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

Bousiotis, D., Pope, F. D., Beddows, D. C. S., Dall'Osto, M., Massling, A., Nøjgaard, J. K., Nordstrøm, C., Niemi, J. V., Portin, H., Petäjä, T., Perez, N., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Kouvarakis, G., Mihalopoulos, N., Vratolis, S., Eleftheriadis, K., Wiedensohler, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Tuch, T., and Harrison, R. M.: A phenomenology of new particle formation (NPF) at 13 European sites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 11905–11925, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-11905-2021, 2021.

Chu, B., Kerminen, V.-M., Bianchi, F., Yan, C., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric new particle formation in China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 115-138, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-115-2019, 2019.

Deng, C., Fu, Y., Dada, L., Yan, C., Cai, R., Yang, D., Zhou, Y., Yin, R., Lu, Y., Li, X., Qiao, X., Fan, X., Nie, W., Kontkanen, J., Kangasluoma, J., Chu, B., Ding, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Paasonen, P., Worsnop, D. R., Bianchi, F., Liu, Y., Zheng ,J., Wang, L., Kulmala, M., and Jiang, J.: Seasonal characteristics of new particle formation and growth in Urban Beijing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 8547-8557, 2020.

Franchin, A., Ehrbart, S., Leppä, J., Nieminen, T., Gagne, S., Schobesberger, S., Wimmer, D., Duplissy, J., Riccobono, F., Dunne, E. M., Rondo, L., Downard, A., Bianchi, F., Kupc, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., Wagner, P. E., Hansel, A., Kirkby, J., Kurten, A., Donahue, N. M., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Metzger, A., Petäjä, T., Schnitzhofer, R., Sipilä, M., Stozhkov, Y., Tome, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Carslaw, K.,

Curtius, J., Baltensperger, U., and Kulmala, M.: Experimental investigation of ion-ion recombination under atmospheric conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 7203-7216, 2015.

Gordon, H., Kirkby, J., Baltensperger, U., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Curtius, J., Dias, A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Dunne, E. M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Frege, C., Fuchs, C., Hansel, A., Hoyle, C. R., Kulmala, M., Kürten, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Molteni, U., Rissanen, M. P., Stozhov, Y., Tröstl, J., Tsakogeorgas, G., Wagner, R., Williamson, C., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Yan, C., and Carslaw, K. S.: Causes and importance of new particle formation in the present-day and preindustrial atmospheres. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 8739-8760, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026844, 2017.

Guo, S., Hu, M., Zamora, M. L., Peng, J., Shang, D., Zheng, J., Du, Z., Wu, Z., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Molina, M. J., and Zhang, R.: Elucidating severe urban haze formation in China, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 111, 17373, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419604111, 2014.

Hari, P. and Kulmala, M.: Station for measuring Ecosystem-Atmosphere relations (SMEAR II), Boreal Environment Research, 10, 2005.

Hirsikko, A., Laakso, L., Hõrrak, U., Aalto, P. P., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Annual and size dependent variation of growth rates and ion concentrations in boreal forest, Boreal Env. Res., 10, 357-369, 2005.

Hirsikko, A., Paatero, J., Hatakka, J., and Kulmala, M.: The <sup>222</sup>Rn activity concentration, external radiation dose and air ion production rates in a boreal forest in Finland between March 2000 and June 2006, Boreal Environ. Res., 12, 265–278, 2007.

Hirsikko, A., Nieminen, T., Gagne, S., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Ehn, M., Horrak, U., Kerminen, V.-M., Laakso, L., McMurry, P. H., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Petäjä, T., Tammet, H., Vakkari, V., Vana, M., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric ions and nucleation: a review of observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 767-798, 2011.

Horrak, U., Salm, J., and Tammet, H.: Bursts of intermediate ions in atmospheric air, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103(D12), 13909–13915, 1998.

Kerminen, V.-M., Chen, X., Vakkari, V., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Bianchi, F.: Atmospheric new particle formation and growth: review of field observations, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 103003, DOI 10.1088/1748-9326/aadf3c, 2018.

Kontkanen, J., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Nieminen, T., Manninen, H. E., Lehtipalo, K., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Estimating the contribution of ion-ion recombination to sub-2 nm cluster concentrations from atmospheric measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11391- 11401, 2013.

Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Schobesberger, S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Järvinen, E., Äijälä, M., Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J., Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola, H., Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin, R. L., Duplissy, J., Vehkamäki, H., Bäck, J., Kortelainen, A., Riipinen, I., Kurtén, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith, J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.-M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct observations of atmospheric aerosol nucleation, Science, 339, 943–946, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227385, 2013.

Kulmala, M., Cai, R., Stolzenburg, D., Zhou, Y., Dada, L., Guo, Y., Yan, C., Petäjä, T., Jiang, J., and Kerminen, V.-M.: The contribution of new particle formation and subsequent growth to haze formation, Environ. Sci.: Atmos., 2, 352-361, 2022

Kulmala, M., Ke, P., Lintunen, A., Peräkylä, O., Lohtander, A., Tuovinen, S., Lampilahti, J., Kolari, P., Schiestl-Aalto, P., Kokkonen, T., Nieminen, T., Dada, L., Ylivinkka, I., Petäjä, T., Bäck, J., Lohila, A., Heimsch, L., Ezhova, E., and Kerminen, V.-M.: A novel concept for assessing the potential of different boreal ecosystems to mitigate climate change (CarbonSink+ Potential). Boreal Env. Res., 29, 1–16, 2024a.

Kulmala, M., Aliaga, D., Tuovinen, S., Cai, R., Junninen, H., Yan, C., Bianchi, F., Cheng, Y., Ding, A., Worsnop, D. R., Petäjä, T., Lehtipalo, K., Paasonen, P., and Kerminen, V.-M. (2024) Opinion: A paradigm shift in investigating the general characteristics of atmospheric new particle formation using field observations, Aerosol Res., 2, 49-58, https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-2-49-2024, 2024b.

Lehtinen, K. E. J., Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., and Kerminen V.-M.: Estimating nucleation rates from apparent particle formation rates and vice-versa: Revised formulation of the Kerminen-Kulmala equation, J. Aerosol Sci., 38, 988-994, 2007.

Lehtipalo, K., Leppä, J., Kontkanen, J., Kangasluoma, J., Franchin, A., Wimmer, D., Schobesberger, S., Junninen, H., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Worsnop, D. R., and Kulmala, M.: Methods for determining particle size distribution and growth rates between 1 and 3 nm using the Particle Size Magnifier, Boreal Environ. Res., 19, 215–236, 2014.

Leino, K., Nieminen, T., Manninen, H. E., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Intermediate ions as a strong indicator of new particle formation bursts in boreal forest, Boreal Env. Res., 21, 274-286, 2016.

Leppä, J., Anttila, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M., and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Atmospheric new particle formation: real and apparent growth of neutral and charged particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4939-4955, 2011.

Liu, J. Q., Jiang, J. K., Zhang, Q., Deng, J. G., and Hao, J. M.: A spectrometer for measuring particle size distributions in the range of 3 nm to 10 µm, Front. Env. Sci. Eng., 10, 63–72, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-014-0754-x, 2016.

Liu Y., Yan C., Feng Z., Zheng F., Fan X., Zhng Y., Li C., Zhou Y, Lin Z., Guo Y., Zhang Y., Ma L., Zhou W., Liu Z., Dada L., Dällenback K., Kontkanen J., Cai R., Chan T., Chu B., Du W., Yao L., Wang Y., Cai J., Kangasluoma J., Kokkonen T., Kujansuu J., Rusanen A., Deng C., Fu Y., Yin R., Li X., Lu Y., Liu Y., Lian C., Yang D., Wang W., Ge M., Wang Y., Worsnop D. R., Junninen H., He H. Kerminen V.-M., Zheng J., Wang L., Jiang J., Petäjä T., Bianchi F. and Kulmala M. (2020) Continuous and comprehensive atmospheric observations in Beijing: a station to understand the complex urban atmospheric environment. *Big Earth Data* **4**, 295- 321.

Mahfouz, N. G. A. and Donahue, N. M.: Technical note: The enhancenment limit of coagulation scavenging of small charged particles. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 3827-3832, 2021.

Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Asmi, E., Gagne, S., Häkkinen, S., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P., Vana, M., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Hõrrak, U, Plass-Dülmer, C., Stange, G., Kiss, G., Hoffer, A., Töro, N., Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Brinkenberg, M., Kouvarakis, G. N., Bougiatioti, A., Mihalopoulos, N., O'Dowd, C. D., Ceburnis, D., Arneth, A., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Tarozzi, L., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Birmili, W., Sonntag, A., Wiedensohler, A., Boulon, J., Sellegri, K., Laj, P., Gysel, M., Bukowiecki, N., Weingartner, E., Wehrle, G., Laaksonen, A., Hamed, A., Joutsensaari, J., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: EUCAARI ion spectrometer measurements at 12 European sites – analysis of new particle formation events, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7907-7927, 2020.

Mirme, S. and Mirme, A.: The mathematical principles and design of the NAIS – a spectrometer for the measurement of cluster ion and nanometer aerosol size distributions, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 1061–1071, doi:10.5194/amt-6-1061-2013, 2013.

Mirme, S., Balbaaki, R., Manninen, H.E., Koemets, P., Sommer, E., Rörup, B, Wu, Y., Almeida, J., Sebastian, E., Weber, S.K, Pfeifer, J., Kangasluoma. J., Kulmala, M., Kirkby, J. Design and performance of the Cluster Ion Counter (CIC), to be submitted to Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2024

Nieminen, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Aalto, P. P., Arshinov, M., Asmi, E., Baltensperger, U., Beddows, D. C. S., Beukes, J. P., Collins, D., Ding, A., Harrison, R. M., Henzing, B., Hooda, R., Hu, M., Hõrrak, U., Kivekäs, N., Komsaare, K., Krejci, R., Kristensson, A., Laakso, L., Laaksonen, A., Leaitch, W. R., Lihavainen, H., Mihalopoulos, N., Németh, Z., Nie, W., O'Dowd, C., Salma, I., Sellegri, K., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Tunved, P., Ulevicius, V., Vakkari, V., Vana, M., Wiedensohler, A., Wu, Z., Virtanen, A., and Kulmala, M.: Global analysis of continental boundary layer new particle formation based on long-term measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14737–14756, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18- 14737-2018, 2018.

Tammet, H.: The aspiration method for the Determination of Atmospheric-Ion Spectra, The Israel Program for Scientific Translations Jerusalem, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., 1970.

Tammet, H., Hõrrak, U., Laakso, L., and Kulmala, M.: Factors of air ion balance in a coniferous forest according to measurements in Hyytiälä, Finland, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3377–3390, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3377-2006, 2006.

Tammet, H, Komsaare, K., and Horrak, U.: Intermediate ions in the atmosphere, Atmos. Res., 135-136, 263-273, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.09.009, 2014.

Tuovinen, S., Lampilahti, J., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Intermediate ions as indicator for local new particle formation, *Aerosol Res*., 2, 93-105, https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-2-93-2024, 2024.

Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Yue, D., Shang, D., Guo, S., Sun, J., Ding, A., Wang, L., Jiang, J., Guo, H., Gao, J., Cheung, H. C., Morawska, L., Keywood, M., and Hu, M.: New particle formation in China: Current knowledge and further directions, Sci. Total Environ., 577, 258-266, 2017.

**Deleted:** Mirme, S., Manninen, H.E., Koemets, Balbaaki, R., Rörup B., Wu, Y., Ehrhart, S., Weber, S.K., Pfeifer, J., Kangasluoma, J., Kulmala, M., and Kirkby, J. Design and evaluation of Cluster Ion Counter (CIC) with low noise and fast time response, to be submitted to Atmos. Meas. Tech.,  $2024$ 

**Deleted:** *earch Discuss*. [preprint], in review,

**Deleted:** ¶

Zhou, Y., Dada, L., Liu, Y., Fu, Y., Kangasluoma, J., Chan, T., Yan, C., Chu, B., Daellenbach, K. R., Bianchi, F., Kokkonen, T. V., Liu, Y., Kujansuu, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Wang, L., Jiang, J., and Kulmala, M.: Variation of size-segregated particle number concentrations in wintertime Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 1201–1216, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20- 1201-2020, 2020.

## **Tables**

599 Table 1. Percentiles of the CIC Channel 1 (small ion) and Channel 2–3 (roughly 2.0–2.3 nm

- 600 ion) concentrations (cm<sup>-3</sup>) during 16.01.2024–01.04.2024. Positive polarity is marked by  $+$  601 and negative by -. The negative concentrations for the Channel 2 subtracted by Channel 3 and
- 601 and negative by  $-$ . The negative concentrations for the Channel 2 subtracted by Channel 3 are indicative of a noisy signal of the instrument.
- indicative of a noisy signal of the instrument.

603

604 Channel 1 Channel 2  $\frac{1}{2}$ + ‒ + ‒ Mean 280 220 2.8 5.2  $10\%$  130 90  $-11$   $-13$  $25\%$  190 140  $-4.4$   $-5.6$ 50% 270 210 1.3 0.9 75% 360 290 7.9 9.6 90% 430 380 17 24 605 **Deleted:** Channel

606

 $\frac{607}{608}$ 608 Table 2. Percentiles of NAIS concentrations  $\text{(cm}^{-3})$  during 16.01.2024 – 01.04.2024, excluding 16–17.03.2024. Small ions in the diameter ranges 0.8–2 nm and 1–2 nm and

609 excluding  $16-17.03.2024$ . Small ions in the diameter ranges 0.8–2 nm and  $1-2$  nm are 6.10 included. Intermediate ion concentrations are included for diameter range 2.0–2.3 nm,

included. Intermediate ion concentrations are included for diameter range 2.0–2.3 nm, as well

611 as for the diameter range that the CIC covers (Channel 2–3, see Sect. 2.3 for details). 612 Positive polarity is marked by  $+$  and negative by  $-$ .

613

![](_page_14_Picture_420.jpeg)

**Deleted:** Statistics

617 **Deleted:** Statistics

## 619 **Deleted:** virtual CIC

**Deleted:** Ch 2-3 concentrations have been calculated by multiplying the NAIS ion concentrations by detection efficiencies presented in Figure 1, after which they have been summed and divided by the average detection efficiency.

**Deleted:** Virtual CIC c

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

 

 Figure 1. Experimental detection efficiency for ions in the range from 1.1 to 5.0 nm for each 632 of the 3 collecting electrodes of the CIC. Due to the absence of a separate sheath air flow 633 layer in the mobility analyzer, the detection efficiencies do not have a sharp upper size line layer in the mobility analyzer, the detection efficiencies do not have a sharp upper size limit; 634 instead, they asymptotically approach zero as particle size increases. Ion concentrations in a 635 narrower size range can be estimated by subtracting the signal of channel 3 from channel narrower size range can be estimated by subtracting the signal of channel 3 from channel 636 2. The detection efficiencies of the two channels converge from 2.5 nm to 3.5 nm and are practically equal for larger particles. practically equal for larger particles. 

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

654 concentrations measured with the CIC as a function of concentrations measured with the 655 NAIS in Hyytiälä. The NAIS concentrations on the top figure were determined for the same 656 size range as covered by the CIC Channels 2 and  $3$  (for details, see Sect. 2.3). The NAIS 657 concentrations on the bottom figure are for the diameter range  $2.0-2.3$  nm. The black dotted 658 line marks the 1:1 line. Pearson correlation coefficient ρ of the two concentrations shown is 659 included in the figure. 660

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Deleted:** during 16.01–01.04. 2024. The values are missing from the period 16–17.03.2024. The NAIS concentrations on 666 **Deleted:** X

# 667 **Deleted:** X

**Deleted:** The concentrations from the NAIS were multiplied by the detection efficiencies for the CIC Channel  $2-3$ presented in Figure 1, summed and divided by the average detection efficiency for the CIC channel  $2-3$ . If the NAIS concentrations are assumed to be equal to the atmospheric 673 concentrations, then in theory the CIC and NAIS concentrations in the top figure should be equal. The NAIS

**Deleted:** The NAIS concentrations in the top figure are on average higher, which is due to the wider size range of ions covered.

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

678 Figure 4. Time series of observed ion concentrations. The top figure has the concentrations of 680 small ions from the CIC Channel 1 and from the NAIS for both all sub-2 nm ions and  $1-2$  nm ions. The bottom figure has concentrations of ions measured by the CIC channel  $2-3$  which ions. The bottom figure has concentrations of ions measured by the CIC channel 2-3 which 682 approximately corresponds to the size range of  $2.0-2.3$  nm. In addition, there are

683 concentrations of  $2.0-2.3$  nm ions measured by the NAIS (NAIS  $2.0-2.3$  nm) and concentrations from the NAIS that were determined for the exact same size range concentrations from the NAIS that were determined for the exact same size range as covered

685 by the difference of CIC Channels 2 and 3 (NAIS Ch 2-3).

687 **Deleted:** (16.01.2024‒01.04.2024)

**Deleted:** The NAIS data are missing from the period 16–17.03.2024. The top figure has the concentrations of small

**Deleted:** were derived by multiplying the NAIS<br>concentrations by the CIC detection efficiencies presented in<br>Figure 1 and then summed and divided by the average CIC<br>concentrations (NAIS Ch 2-3). In theory, if the concentr measured by NAIS are assumed to equal to the atmospheric ion concentrations, then the CIC Ch 2–3 and NAIS Ch 2–3 for concentrations, then the cre

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Deleted:** The top figure illustrates how the CIC concentrations are correspond closely to the concentrations of 1-2 nm ions, while ions smaller than are not detected. The

**Deleted:** derived by multiplying the NAIS concentrations by the CIC detection efficiencies presented in Figure 1 and then summed and divided by the average CIC concentrations

**Deleted:** In theory, if the concentrations measured by the NAIS are assumed to equal the atmospheric ion concentrations, then the CIC Ch 2–3 and NAIS Ch 2–3 concentrations should be equal. When the concentrations are higher around midday, this is indeed the case. The correlation

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Deleted: 7

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

while the bottom panel includes those for  $Q=3$  cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. A value of 0.9 nm/h for GR used, as 867 determined in Fig. 7 for this day. Negative and positive ion concentrations were assumed to 868 be the same. be the same. 

![](_page_25_Picture_21.jpeg)