



Condensation Diffusion Charging - Particle Number Measurement of High Concentrations Down to 2.5 nm

Helmut Krasa¹, Victoria M. Fruhmann¹, Sebastian Schurl², Martin Kupper¹, and Alexander Bergmann¹ ¹Institute of Electrical Measurement and Sensor Systems, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria ²Institute of Thermodynamics and Sustainable Propulsion Systems, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria **Correspondence:** Alexander Bergmann (alexander.bergmann@tugraz.at)

Abstract. Particle number (PN) measurement of particles smaller than 10 nm is challenging and has so far primarily relied on condensation particle counters (CPCs). In this work, we present a concept that combines a condensational growth stage with a diffusion charger to allow for PN measurement with a lower particle cut-off diameter of 2.5 nm with the ability to measure PN concentrations exceeding 10^6 cm⁻³. We use diethylene glycol as working fluid to magnify ultrafine particles into monodisperse

- 5 μ m-sized droplets, which are then charged by a corona charger and finally detected with a Faraday cup electrometer. The sensor developed in this work, the Condensation Diffusion Charger (CDC), shows a size-independent counting efficiency above 10 nm, similar to CPCs. Finite element simulations were performed to model the particle activation and subsequent droplet growth. The particle activation was verified experimentally and showed a counting efficiency of 50 % for particles with 3 nm mobility diameter. The CDC was tested on exhaust emissions at a chassis dynamometer for category L-vehicles to demonstrate its
- viability for vehicle emission measurements. The results closely correlate with a 2.5 nm reference CPC. Our findings indicate 10 that this method offers an approach for a compact and portable PN measurement system for ultrafine particles at very high concentrations without the need for dilution.

1 Introduction

Exposure to elevated levels of airborne pollution is estimated to have caused more than 8 million premature deaths annually worldwide in 2021 (Health Effects Institute, 2024). Increased levels of fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter 15 $< 2.5 \,\mu$ m, as well as coarse particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter $< 10 \,\mu$ m are known to have severe health effects (Brook et al., 2010). While ultrafine particles smaller than 100 nm constitute only a minor fraction of the total particle mass, their increased exposure is known for its contribution to short-term respiratory health effects (Penttinen et al., 2001) and cardiovascular diseases (Franck et al., 2011), and has been linked to numerous other health concerns (Schraufnagel, 2020). Due to their low mass, ultrafine particles are typically quantified using particle number (PN) concentration rather than mass.

20

To improve the understanding of ultrafine particle exposure, the European Union's ambient air quality directive 2008/50/EG mandates monitoring PN concentrations and particle size distributions at a defined number of sites with high expected concentrations. The most commonly used instruments for PN measurements are condensation particle counters (CPCs), which



25



detect and count single particles by growing them to optically detectable droplets using a supersaturated vapor and measure the number concentration by quantifying the scattered light pulses of the individual droplets.

While CPCs are widely used in areas such as air quality monitoring, vehicle exhaust emission homologation (Giechaskiel et al., 2014), and clean-room monitoring (Ahonen et al., 2017), electrical methods offer an alternative method for PN analysis via measurement of the charge carried by the particles. Electrometers (EMs) can be positioned downstream of a differential mobility analyzer (DMA) to serve as reference instrument for CPCs calibration. By analyzing the particle size distribution data obtained from scans over the particle mobility diameter, the detection and characterization of doubly charged particles becomes

30 obtained from scans over the particle mobility diameter, the detection and characterization of doubly charged particles becomes feasible to allow precise determination of the charge state within the aerosol population. This methodology allows for a high level of accuracy in assessing PN concentrations for calibration purposes (Vasilatou et al., 2025).

Electric PN measurement methods typically rely on unipolar ion sources to charge aerosols via diffusion, after which the total charge is evaluated. Instruments such as the Electrical Low-Pressure Impactor (ELPI) by Dekati (Keskinen et al., 1992),

35 the DMS500 by Cambustion (Reavell et al., 2002), and the Partector by Naneos (Asbach et al., 2024) are widely used for this purpose. These instruments are particularly effective for capturing highly transient events and measuring elevated PN concentrations. In recent years, several countries have mandated PN monitoring during periodic technical inspections of cars and trucks (Burtscher et al., 2019), creating a demand for affordable, robust instruments capable of accurately measuring high PN levels. In response, several diffusion charging-based instruments have been commercialized to meet these regulatory

40 requirements (Melas et al., 2023).

Diffusion chargers (DCs) are generally robust and compact instruments, however, come with certain limitations. Since the charge acquired by particles generally depends on their size, the resulting measurement is inherently size-dependent. Additionally, the small electrical currents generated during the sensing process, due to the low absolute charge levels carried by the particles, lead to increased measurement uncertainty, particularly at low PN concentrations.

45

To overcome these limitations, we combine a condensation particle magnifier stage with a DC based sensor for PN measurement down to particle sizes of 2.5 nm. Polydisperse particles are activated and grown into droplets with a homogeneous size of about 2 μ m before being detected electrically by a DC. This allows to 1) extend the lower detectable particle size limit comparable to that of CPCs, 2) reduce the inherent size dependency of electrically based PN counters and 3) lower the limit of detection compared to conventional DCs, while being able to measure PN concentrations exceeding 10⁶ cm⁻³.

50 2 Instrument description

Fig. 1 shows a schematic drawing of the instrument presented in this work, the condensation diffusion charger (CDC). The CDC consists of a custom-built condensation stage for particle magnification and a diffusion charger DC for electrical charging and subsequent current measurement of the PN concentration. The DC used in this work was characterized extensively previously both experimentally and theoretically (Schriefl et al., 2019, 2020; Knoll et al., 2021).



65

70





Figure 1. Schematic drawing of the CDC.

55 The condensation stage combines a heated saturation section with a cooled condenser and was dimensioned based on simulation results, as discussed in Sect. 5.1. The saturator is 200 mm in length and was made from aluminum. It features drilled channels for the wick and two heating cartridges.

The wick was custom made from a 3D-printed honeycomb mesh with a 6.35 mm (0.25 inch) flow channel inside. A silicate cord was wrapped around and soaked in a working fluid (WF), which was either 2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethanol (also diethylene

60 glycol, DEG) or Propan-1,2,3-triol (glycerol). Selection criteria and properties of the WF, are discussed in Sect. 4. An active working fluid management system was not required due to the low WF consumption rate. The condenser section is 100 mm long and cooled by a Peltier element. Temperature control was realized with a PT-100 element and a PID controller for both the saturator and condenser.

The 30 mm long thermal insulator between the saturator and condenser was resin 3D-printed. Temperatures of 55 °C or 40 °C for the saturator and 20 °C for the condenser were selected to achieve the desired supersaturation conditions.

The carrier gas is first saturated with the WF vapor in the saturator and then cooled in the condenser section. As the temperature decreases, the saturation vapor pressure drops, causing the vapor saturation ratio to exceed unity, creating a supersaturated environment. When the supersaturation level is sufficient for a given seed particle diameter d_p (as discussed in Sect. 3.1) the particles become activated and grow into droplets, with sizes up to a few µm. This process transforms the initially polydisperse particle distribution into droplets of uniform size.

The carrier gas containing the droplets grown in the condenser flows then into the DC. The flow channel of the DC is rectangular with a cross section of 4 x 16 mm². A corona wire outside of the flow path is operated at voltage of approximately 2.8 kV. The corona discharge process causes ionization of the surrounding gas, as described in Sect. 3.2. The created ions are then drawn across the flow channel by a counter electrode with negative voltage, where they either attach to particles via diffusion or are captured in the electrode acting as an ion trap. Due to the working principle of the used Faraday cup electrometer (FCEM), which operates based on induced currents (Fierz et al., 2014), a differential signal is required. This is achieved by modulating the precipitation stage (see Fig. 1). The EM unit then measures a current, which is directly proportional

to the change of the total aerosol charge state. The flow rate was set to the operation point of the DC at 0.54 L min⁻¹.

This approach offers several advantages over conventional diffusion charging methods. The detection of particles smaller than 10 nm by DC is possible by the magnification of the ultrafine particles. The lower detection limit can therefore be extended to size ranges similar to those of CPCs or particle size magnifiers, 2.5 nm in this work. The magnification also disregards the inherent size dependency of diffusion charging, since all particles independent of their initial seed diameter grow into similarly





sized droplets. Furthermore, the particle magnification size leads to an increase in charge carried per particle and therefore an amplification in the sensor signal, significantly reducing the limit of detection.

Theoretical background 85 3

3.1 Particle activation and growth

Ultrafine particles are grown into µm-sized droplets in particle size magnifiers through condensational growth in a supersaturated vapor. In CPCs, these droplets are then detected optically by measuring light pulses produced through light scattering. Supersaturation in laminar-flow CPCs is typically generated by saturating the carrier gas in a heated section that contains a

90 wetted wick, allowing the gas to absorb vapor before it is cooled to induce supersaturation (Stolzenburg and McMurry, 1991). The minimum particle size that can be activated is given by the Kelvin equation:

$$d_p = \frac{4\sigma\nu_m}{RTln(S)}\tag{1}$$

Where σ is the surface tension, R the molar gas constant, T the temperature, S the supersaturation ratio and v_m the molar volume. The seed particle with the diameter d_p in this model is assumed to be of the same species as the condensing liquid, negating any further chemical influences in addition to the surface tension. Depending on the temperature settings, which 95 determine the supersaturation profile in the condenser, particles larger than d_p are grown into droplets, whereas smaller particles are not activated. Higher temperature differences between the saturator and condenser lead to greater supersaturation, resulting in activation of smaller particles.

The Kelvin equation assumes nucleation in a uniform medium, free from the influence of external surfaces. Heterogeneous 100 nucleation involves the presence of pre-existing surfaces, such as nucleation seeds, which fundamentally alter the nucleation process. The contact angle θ , defined at the solid-liquid-vapor interface, is used to describe the wettability of the surface (Fletcher, 1958). This allows to include the affinity between the WF and the seed particle, which induces a change in the size-dependent activation probability compared to the Kelvin theory. A lower contact angle between the liquid and the particle material indicates increased wettability and therefore a more favorable nucleation environment (Mamakos et al., 2011).

105

The subsequent growth of activated particles is governed by the balance of mass and heat transfer processes at the droplet interface. A theoretical framework describing the time-dependent droplet growth, including the governing equations and assumptions, is provided in the supplemental information.

3.2 PN measurement by diffusion charging

The measurement of the PN concentration via diffusion charging consists of two steps. First, the particles are electrically 110 charged. Unipolar chargers are typically used because they achieve higher charge levels per particle compared to bipolar chargers. A common method for generating unipolar ions involves a controlled corona discharge, and chargers that use this technique are known as corona chargers. The ions generated in the discharge region recombine with the aerosol via diffusion,



115

120



charging the particles. Direct charging of the aerosol leads to particle losses due to depositions on the corona, which in turn can lead to unstable ion generation (Qi et al., 2007). To avoid direct interaction between the high-voltage corona wire and the particles, ions are often generated outside the flow path and pulled into the aerosol flow, where they recombine via diffusion by an applied electric field (Park et al., 2007).

Following charging, the electrical charge carried by the aerosol is measured using an EM. Particles are collected on a filter, and the resulting deposited current is measured. However, the charge acquired by a particle via diffusion charging is not constant but depends significantly on particle size, roughly scaling with the particle diameter (Jung and Kittelson, 2005; Fierz et al., 2011):

$$Q_{tot} \sim N \cdot d_p^{1.1} \tag{2}$$

 Q_{tot} is the total charge carried by the particles and N the number concentration of the aerosol to be measured. The particle morphology can also influence the acquired charge of the particle (Biskos et al., 2005).

- Fierz et al. (2014) used an open FCEM for contactless measurement of the aerosol charge. The total charge state of the aerosol within the FCEM changes periodically with the corona pulse. This change (dQ/dt) induces geometry-dependent space charges and therefore a measurable current between the FCEM and ground, eliminating the need for particle collection on a filter. The differential nature of this method reduces sensitivity to thermal and long-term drift. However, as the induced current is differential, the signal amplitude is influenced by the pre-existing charge state of the aerosol, which is particularly significant for smaller particles (Knoll et al., 2021). Furthermore, the temporal resolution of the PN measurement is limited by the pulse duration of the corona, which should be exactly as long as the gas exchange time within the FCEM to maximize the signal
- amplitude.

Schmidt-Ott and Burtscher (2006) incorporated an electrostatic precipitator between the charger and the EM. The mobility scales differently with size in different regimes, d^{-2} in the molecular regime and d^{-1} in the continuum regime. The precipitator thus reduces the influence of the particle size on the measured current. Applying an appropriate voltage allows the precipitator to partially remove particles based on their electrical mobility, where larger particles are precipitated at a higher rate. Across

135

to partially remove particles based on their electrical mobility, where larger particles are precipitated at a higher rate. Across a limited range, the measured average charge per particle becomes almost independent of the particle size, allowing for measurement of the PN concentration (Schriefl et al., 2020).

140

The instrument described in this work uses a DC operating in the modulated precipitation mode. In this mode, the charger operates continuously, while the voltage on the precipitator is periodically switched ON and OFF. This approach was chosen over pulsing of the corona for its operational stability within our instrument configuration. The sensor signal in the FCEM using the modulated precipitation mode is directly proportional to the change in current:

$$\Delta I_{MP} = I^{PREC_{ON}} - I^{PREC_{OFF}} \tag{3}$$

where ΔI_{MP} is the total change in electrical current, $I^{PREC_{ON}}$ is the current measured with the precipitator activated and $I^{PREC_{OFF}}$ is the current measured with the precipitator off.





Typically, the modulated precipitation mode is used with the goal of achieving a size-independent PN measurement. This is 145 achieved by tuning the precipitation voltage to flatten the size response, as described above. However, the preceding condensation stage in the CDC fundamentally changes the requirements. The condenser stage grows incoming particles into relatively large, monodisperse droplets, up to several μ m, before they enter the charger and FCEM.

Since the droplets are monodisperse, the need to compensate for size dependency of the DC is eliminated. Instead, the goal is to maximize the measurement signal ΔI_{MP} . Maximum signal strength is achieved when all particles are fully precipitated upon 150 activating the precipitator ($I^{PREC_{ON}} = 0$). Full precipitation can be achieved by setting the precipitator voltage sufficiently high or reducing the flow rate to ensure adequate residence time for precipitation. A reduction in flow rate would increase the gas exchange time in the FCEM, and therefore also the response time of the instrument. Therefore, the precipitation voltage was increased to ensure total precipitation of all particles.

Working fluid selection 155 4

Influence of the WF material properties 4.1

The most widely used WF for CPCs is butanol. It enables particle growth into droplets with diameters of up to 10 µm, which can subsequently be detected via light scattering (lida et al., 2011). However, butanol has limitations when it comes to the reliable detection of particles smaller than 3 nm. This is because the supersaturation levels required to activate sub-3-nm particles 160 approach the threshold for homogeneous nucleation, where droplet formation can occur spontaneously without the presence of pre-existing seed particles. Conversely, DEG has the ability to condense on sub-3-nm particles without causing homogeneous nucleation (Kuang et al., 2011). DEG droplets grow to smaller sizes compared to butanol due to its lower vapor pressure. The particle size magnifier by Airmodus, for example, grows particles into droplets with a size of approximately 100 nm (Vanhanen et al., 2011). Therefore, condensational DEG growth stages are not used in CPCs directly but as particle magnifiers and coupled

with a butanol-based CPC as counting unit. 165

> Hao et al. (2021) analyzed 46 different WFs and optimized the saturator temperature to achieve the lowest possible d_{50} value without triggering homogeneous nucleation. They found that WFs with lower saturation vapor pressures can activate smaller particles while avoiding homogeneous nucleation. Simulations for laminar-flow-based magnifiers further showed that, even for WFs with lower saturation vapor pressures, droplets can still grow to several µm for laminar-flow based systems.

170

While a certain droplet diameter must be reached for CPCs to detect the scattered light, the scattering intensity does not directly influence the measured particle concentration as long as the scattering event is registered. As discussed in Sect. 3.2, diffusion charging is size-sensitive and the particle size directly influences the charge uptake. The droplet growth rate is mainly influenced by the vapor pressure above the droplet surface in the condenser (details can be found in the supplemental information, equations S1 to S5. Therefore, both the choice of WF, as well as the temperature settings of the condenser and saturator, 175 influence the final droplet size.

WFs that generate larger droplets have the advantage of increasing the signal amplitude and therefore lowering the limit of detection at the cost of a higher WF consumption rate. Additionally, larger droplet sizes help minimize the influence of





particle pre-charge and initial particle size on final droplet formation. If WFs and temperature settings are chosen such that vapor pressures can be kept low, droplets might only grow into sizes as small as 100 nm. At these small sizes, the final droplet
size becomes dependent on the seed particle diameter, which prevents direct measurement of PN concentration independent of the initial particle size. Conversely, with increasing size, droplets are more susceptible to losses within the DC due to inertial impaction or gravitational settling, leading to performance degradation.

4.2 Concentration-dependent effects

While inertial and gravitational losses can be mitigated by using straight flow channels and a vertical setup, concentrationdependent supersaturation reductions influence the final droplet size. Condensational heating increases the temperature in the condenser, lowering the supersaturation. Additionally, the uptake of WF vapor by the condensing particles reduces the vapor concentration in the condenser, leading to vapor depletion. Both effects lead to a reduction in the final droplet size and therefore a change in the counting behavior.

Condensational heating is caused by the release of latent heat as vapor condenses onto particles. This effect is proportional 190 to the amount of condensed vapor, and thus to the total droplet mass, scaling with approximately $\sim d_p^3 \cdot H_{vap}$, where H_{vap} is the latent heat of vaporization.

Vapor depletion results from a reduction in vapor concentration as vapor is absorbed by growing droplets. The reduction in vapor concentration is dependent on the droplet mass but, in this case, is relative to the vapor pressure of the WF $\sim d_p^3/p$. No consistent trend can generally be stated for vapor depletion effects, as fluids with higher vapor pressures tend to grow larger

195 particles. However, for WFs with lower vapor pressures, droplet growth is reduced, which in turn reduces condensational heating effects.

Lewis and Hering (2013) and Stratmann et al. (2010) analyzed concentration-dependent effects in water-based CPCs. Both studies revealed a reduction in droplet size mainly caused by condensational heating effects, which are strong due to the larger droplet size within the system, whereas vapor depletion does not play a significant role. Lewis and Hering (2013) changed the

200 condenser width and reported a decrease in concentration-dependent effects for narrower geometries caused by smaller particle diameters due to shortened residence times within the condenser. In the case of high concentrations of 10^6 cm⁻³ and a bimodal distribution, concentration-dependent effects can become so strong, that particle sizes previously above the d_{50} are no longer counted within the CPC (Stratmann et al., 2010).

4.3 Working fluids for diffusion charging

- 205 Considering all of the above influences, the requirements regarding the WF for a condensation-based electrical counter are different from those of a CPC. Within a CPC, a minimum droplet size for reliable detection via light scattering is required. Therefore, liquids with a high vapor pressure, such as water or butanol, are often the preferred choice. Without the drawback of requiring an additional booster stage, WFs with smaller saturation vapor pressures are suitable within this setup. However, due to the direct influence of the droplet diameter on the measurement signal, the situation is more nuanced for the CDC developed
- 210 in this work. The signal amplitude and therefore the limit of detection directly depend on the droplet diameter. On the other



215



hand, concentration effects can be expected to be more pronounced with larger droplets and have a direct influence on the measured PN concentration.

WFs with a lower saturation vapor pressure lead to smaller droplet sizes and allow for the activation of smaller particles without causing homogeneous nucleation. Additionally, a lower evaporation rate leads to a reduced consumption of the WF and therefore an extended refilling interval. The reduced droplet size resulting from the lower vapor saturation pressure also reduces inertial and gravitational losses due to impaction, as droplets in water-based CPCs grow up to 8 µm (Lewis and Hering, 2013).

DEG is commonly used in booster stages for particle size magnifiers, and was therefore evaluated as one of two WFs within this work. Glycerol was also tested as a WF candidate, as the vapor pressure of glycerol is approximately a factor of 30 lower than that of DEG. This results in smaller droplet diameters at temperature settings for comparable d_{50} diameters. However, the obtained signal amplitude with glycerol as WF was found to be reduced over time and therefore not a suitable WF. This may be caused by the high water affinity of glycerol, which then takes up water within the wick, reducing the vapor concentration and therefore droplet growth in the condenser.

5 Condensation Stage Model

225 5.1 CFD Simulation

The condensation growth stage of the CDC was modeled in COMSOL Multiphysics 6.0. The model consists of a heated saturator followed by the thermal insulation and a cooled condenser stage. The subsequent droplet growth was calculated in MATLAB.

The COMSOL model consists of a 2D-axially-symmetric model and couples the laminar flow, heat transfer in fluids, and stabilized convection-diffusion modules. The required temperature-dependent material parameters were taken from Yaw (2003) and are spatially resolved within the geometry. The 2D fields of the gas flow, temperature and WF vapor pressure of the condenser stage are then passed to MATLAB. As the diffusion coefficient of the WFs in use is lower than the thermal diffusivity of the carrier gas, the supersaturation decreases from the centerline towards the wall (Li et al., 2024). One can therefore integrate from the centerline to the outermost radius r_{max} , where the particles are still activated, while taking into account the parabolic flow velocity v(r). This yields the size-dependent counting efficiency (CE):

$$CE(d_p) = \frac{\int_{r=0}^{r=r_{max}} r \cdot v(r) dr}{\int_{r=0}^{r=R} r \cdot v(r) dr}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Equation 4 assumes a uniform distribution of the PN concentration along the radial axis and therefore neglects axial diffusion effects. Generally, two distinct particle sizes are of interest: The largest diameter, at which no particle activation occurs (d_0) , as well as the particle diameter, at which 50 % of the particles are counted (d_{50}) .

240

Once a sufficient supersaturation ratio along the flow direction is reached for a given radial position and particle size d_p according to equation 1, the droplet growth simulation is initialized in MATLAB and solved via the built-in ode15s solver. The





growth rate along the flow path is calculated via equation S1. Particle growth was simulated until the beginning of the corona discharge region. To allow for simulation within the 2D-axially-symmetric model used for the droplet growth, the diffusion charger was approximated as having a cylindrical cross-section, although the actual device features a rectangular profile. The length after the exit of the condenser was adjusted to ensure that the residence time within the model matched that of the

physical instrument.

245

The geometry of the condensation stage was the result of the following considerations and a simulation-based parameter optimization. The width and length of the condenser and saturator directly influence the residence time and therefore determine the diffusional losses within the system. For a given flow rate and flow path width, the saturator length is optimized once the

250 centerline of the flow path is fully saturated. The condenser length can be considered as optimized once the droplet does not grow significantly anymore (Balendra et al., 2024). Both the diffusion rate of the vapor towards the center of the saturator and the droplet growth rate in the condenser are dependent on the material parameters of the WF. Therefore, also the optimum saturator and condenser length are dependent on the chosen WF.

5.2 Particle activation

255 The saturation of the WF vapor inside the condenser was modeled for three different temperature settings. As expected, the maximum supersaturation occurs along the centerline of the condenser and decreases toward the walls. The supersaturation field and corresponding Kelvin equilibrium diameter are shown in the supplemental information (Fig. S2). According to equation 1, smaller particle sizes require higher supersaturation, which is only achieved near the centerline of the condenser. As a result, only a portion of the particles are activated, and a reduced CE, as described by equation 4, is observed. The dependence of CE on particle diameter is shown in Fig. 2.





A larger temperature difference (ΔT) between the saturator and condenser leads to higher supersaturation, enabling the activation of smaller particles. For example, at a fixed condenser temperature of $T_c = 20$ °C, reducing the saturator temperature





 T_s from 55 °C to 40 °C decreases ΔT from 35 °C to 20 °C. This reduction in ΔT shifts the d_{50} diameter from 3.5 nm to 7.8 nm, as calculated by the Kelvin equation. Conversely, when ΔT is held constant but both saturator and condenser temperatures are reduced, in this instance from 55/20 °C (saturator/condenser temperature) to 40/5 °C, the resulting change in d_{50} is minimal, with a decrease of only 0.3 nm.

The small magnitude of the change in d_{50} can be explained by considering two competing mechanisms. According to equation 1, the Kelvin diameter decreases with increasing temperature at constant supersaturation. However, at lower temperatures the diffusion coefficient of the WF is reduced, increasing the difference between the vapor diffusion rate and the thermal diffusivity of the carrier gas, which in turn raises the overall supersaturation, as shown in Fig. S1. Additionally, temperature-

270

265

dependent properties such as surface tension, diffusivity, and fluid density also influence the Kelvin equilibrium diameter. Together, these factors result in only a slight decrease in the d_{50} diameter when operating at lower absolute temperatures but maintaining the same ΔT .

5.3 Droplet growth

275 The droplet growth over time for the same temperature settings and three initial particle diameters is presented in Fig. 3(a). A change in the absolute temperature at a constant ΔT has a large effect on the final droplet size, while the d_{50} diameter is largely unaffected. Lowering both T_S and T_c by 15 °C reduces the final droplet size by more than 50 %. Due to the overall lower temperatures, the supersaturation is increased, but the vapor concentration decreases, as shown in Fig. S1.

Reducing T_c , while keeping T_s constant has a weak effect on droplet size but a significant impact on the d_{50} diameter. 280 Lowering T_c by 15 °C while maintaining T_s constant decreases the droplet diameter by only 10 %, while the d_{50} decreases from 7.8 nm to 3.5 nm. The d_{50} value is therefore mainly determined by the temperature difference ΔT between the saturator and condenser, whereas the overall droplet size is mainly influenced by the absolute vapor pressure and thus dependent on T_s .

This distinction enables partial decoupling of the droplet size and d_{50} diameter, allowing for optimization of signal amplification independently of particle activation within the constraints of the WF. As a result, the sensor response amplitude can

285

be tuned accordingly. At higher temperatures, larger droplets increase the overall signal, allowing for a reduction in limit of detection of the FCEM, whereas at larger PN concentrations, smaller droplets are preferred to minimize condensational heating and possible coagulation effects.

Ideally, all particles would grow into droplets of the same size independent of their initial seed size to allow for sizeindependent PN counting. The influence of the particle seed size as well as the radial position within the condenser on the

290 final droplet size is shown in Fig. 3(b). Smaller seed diameters increase the required supersaturation within the condenser, and particles therefore activate later in the condenser and have a reduced time to grow. This effect was used by Kuang et al. (2011) in a DEG-based CPC, where smaller seed particle diameters resulted in reduced droplet sizes within the detector. As in their work, the effect is particularly pronounced close to the transition region of the counter. Above 20 nm, droplet sizes remain constant, and smaller particle seed diameters closer to the cut-off diameter result in reduced droplet sizes. The size

discrepancy between a 5 nm and 100 nm seed particle diameter can be reduced from a factor of 20 down to 25 % according to





simulations. This allows for charging of the droplet almost independent of the initial seed particle diameter and therefore direct PN measurement.



Figure 3. (a) Simulated droplet growth along the radial position r/R = 0.55 over time until the droplet reaches the charger. (b) Final droplet size dependency on the radial position and particle diameter. The color indicates the temperature setting used and the marker and line type indicate the particle seed diameter.

300

Particles closer to the centerline are exposed to a higher supersaturation, and can therefore be expected to grow at a faster rate. The enhanced growth due to higher supersaturation at the center is partially offset by the reduced residence time, which is caused by the parabolic flow profile. Particles closer to the wall have an increased residence time within the condenser and therefore more time to grow. Both effects partially mitigate each other, however seed particles closer to the centerline are expected to grow into larger droplets. The calculated droplet sizes vary by 20 to 35 %, depending on the temperature setting and initial seed particle diameter.

6 Experimental evaluation of the CDC

305 6.1 Experimental setup

Fig. 4 illustrates the experimental setups used for the laboratory evaluation of the CDC. Setup (a) was used to evaluate the size-dependent response of the condensation stage for monodisperse particles from 2.4 nm to 125 nm. For particles with a mobility diameter of 23 nm and below, we used a Palas Charme EM (Palas, Germany), and for particles of 23 nm and above, we used a TSI 3775 CPC (TSI Inc., USA). This allows to account for the limitations of both instruments: The CPC has a

310 reduced CE at smaller sizes, whereas the EM tends to overestimate particle number concentrations at larger sizes due to the increased likelihood of multiply charged particles.





Setup (b) was used to determine the response over a wide range of concentrations with polydisperse aerosol. To stay within the single count mode of the CPC for the linearity test, the aerosol upstream the CPC was diluted with a rotating disk diluter at a fixed dilution ratio of 1:50. The size distribution of the generated polydisperse aerosols were measured prior to the CDC characterization tests and size-dependent losses of the rotating disk diluter were characterized in previous work (Krasa et al., 2021) and accounted for.

Particles were generated by the evaporation-condensation technique as described by Scheibel and Porstendörfer (1983). A ceramic boat containing Ag for monodisperse tests and NaCl for polydisperse tests was placed inside an alumina tube in a Carbolite MTF 12/38/250 tube furnace. Nitrogen was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 2.5 L min⁻¹. After passing through the tube furnace, the gas cools down passively in the alumina tube, causing supersaturation and nucleation of the vaporized

320

315

the tube furnace, the gas cools down passively in the alumina tube, causing supersaturation and nucleation of the vaporized material. For monodisperse measurements, particles were classified by their electrical mobility diameter via a 3083 classifier and a 3088 (TSI Inc., USA) X-ray source. Depending on the particle size, either a 3081 long DMA for larger particles or a 3086 nano DMA (TSI Inc., USA) for smaller particles was used. The sheath-to-sample flow rate was set to > 10:1 to ensure highly monodisperse aerosol after size selection.



Figure 4. Experimental setup to measure (a) the size dependent response with monodisperse aerosol (b) the concentration dependent response of the CDC with polydisperse aerosol.

325 6.2 Droplet size measurement

Prior to evaluation of the CDC response, both the condensation stage and the DC were characterized independently. The droplets downstream of the condensation stage were analyzed with an aerosol particle sizer 3321 (TSI Inc., USA) and compared with the simulation results for the assessment of the growth model. A temperature setting of T = 55/20 °C for the condensation stage was used, and an additional flow before the particle sizer was introduced to reduce the flow rate through the condensation

stage to the desired value of 0.54 Lmin^{-1} to maintain the instrument flow rate of 1 Lmin^{-1} . The residence time within the

system increases due to the additional tubing between the exit of the condenser and entrance of the particle sizer, allowing for

330





additional growth of the droplet. The influence of the increased path length was modeled and resulted in an estimated droplet size of 2.05 μ m for seed particle diameters above 20 nm. The measured droplet sizes showed no significant size differences for particle seed diameters between 10 nm and 100 nm and averaged 1.94 μ m, the average geometric standard deviation was 1.12. Therefore, the simulation overestimated the droplet size by about 6 %.

335

340

6.3 Characterization of the DC for µm sized particles

The response of the DC without the condensation stage for larger particles was evaluated. 2 µm polystyrene spheres were atomized in ultrapure water, and classified with an aerodynamic aerosol classifier and the DC response was compared to that of the reference counters. Due to the size-dependent nature of the diffusion charging, the DC overestimated the PN concentration by a factor of 23.4 compared to its initial calibration at 50 nm.

6.4 Size-dependent response

The size dependent particle detection of the CDC was evaluated experimentally at a temperature setting of T = 55/20 °C with the experimental setup shown in Fig. 4(a) and compared with simulations. The simulations include activation according to the Kelvin equation as modeled in Fig. 2, as well as heterogeneous nucleation theory for two contact angles. The particle concentration was kept constant at ~ 5000 cm⁻³ to reduce the possible influences of concentration-induced effects on the count ratio. Experimental results compared to the simulated particle activation are shown in Fig. 5.

The signal amplitude of the DC increased by an average factor of 19.6 compared to the initial calibration for unmagnified 50 nm particles. The CE of the CDC stayed within 20 % of the value obtained with standardized 2 µm polystyrene spheres for the DC. The sensor response remained stable within 5 % between 10 nm to 125 nm, enabling PN measurement independent of their size. Slight variations in the CE compared to the EM between 10 and 20 nm can be partly attributed to an increase in doubly charged particles at larger sizes, which leads to a higher measured concentration by the reference EM.

350

The change in particle or droplet diameter, which is dynamic and cannot be measured exactly at the moment of charging can alter the CE of the instrument. Furthermore, the gas composition, altered by the introduction of DEG vapor in this case, is known to influence the discharge process as well and can therefore influence the average charge per particle (Schaffer, 2021).

355

As the simulation gives the ratio of activated particles, a reduction in final droplet size for particles close to the cut-off diameter due to later activation in the condenser is not considered. Therefore, the experimentally obtained CE in the transition regime is expected to be slightly lower than predicted by the simulation. For instance, a 20 % reduction in droplet size at 5 nm compared to larger seed particles is expected to decrease the signal by approximately the same amount, as per equation 2. Concentrations above the noise level of the reference EM could not be achieved below 2.4 nm with this setup. Below the

360 d_{50} diameter, measured at 3 nm, both the Kelvin equation and heterogeneous nucleation theory underestimated the ratio of activated particles.







Figure 5. Normalized Counting Efficiency of the CDC sensor, combining a DEG condensation stage and diffusion charger at a temperature setting of 55/20 °C.

The condensation stage was further evaluated at a second set of temperatures, $T_s = 40$ °C and $T_c = 20$ °C. In these tests, only the particle activation without the DC was evaluated to verify the modeled particle activation. Experimental results aligned well with heterogeneous nucleation theory at an assumed contact angle of $\theta = 20^{\circ}$. Further information can be found in the supplemental information, the corresponding data are shown in Fig. S3.

Giechaskiel et al. (2011) fitted contact angles in heterogeneous nucleation theory to match experimental results for a butanolbased CPC using various seed particle materials and diameters. A contact angle between 15° to 35° was found to match for most combinations of particle seed material and sizes. While the d_{50} value for both temperature settings in our study agrees reasonably well with a contact angle of $\theta = 20^{\circ}$, the steepness of the particle activation curve is not accurately predicted for either setting.

370

365

Discrepancies between the activation models and experimental data are well-documented in the literature and vary depending with CPC temperatures and selected particle diameters. The seed particle material has a major influence on the activation efficiency, Wimmer et al. (2013) reported variances as high as 40 % for DEG-based condensation counters. Other contributing factors include the relative humidity of the carrier gas (Iida et al., 2011) and particle charge, which has a more pronounced

effect on smaller particles and enhances their activation (Scheibel and Porstendörfer, 1983). Moreover, the simulation model 375 assumes idealized conditions, such as perfect insulation and uniform boundary temperatures, which differ from real experimental environments. These assumptions can further contribute to deviations between simulation results and experimental observations (Reinisch et al., 2019).

Most of the previous work in literature that combine condensation with electrical sensing methods focused on nanoparticles 380 that were grown via condensation, charged and subsequently re-evaporated, adding an additional step. Similar to the results obtained in this work, Choi and Kim (2007), indirectly charged droplets grown with condensation and re-evaporated them and reported no significant influence of the particle charge on the initial particle diameter, with only a minor decrease in the median charge at the lower particle sizes. In contrast, Suh et al. (2005) used a corona ionizer and could increase the average charge per





particle by a factor of up to 100, but found a large dependency on the particle seed diameter. Similarly, Kim et al. (2006), who 385 used the same setup as Suh et al., also reported a similar size dependency of the initial seed particle diameter on the charge uptake. Furthermore, they also observed an upper charge limit, at which larger grown droplets do not take up more charges.

6.5 Linearity and concentration limits

The setup used to evaluate the linearity of the sensor is shown in Fig. 4(b). The linear response of the CDC instrument was evaluated with polydisperse aerosol. The geometric mean diameters of the polydisperse particle size distributions ranged from 20 nm to 60 nm and data were collected over three separate days. The PN calibration factor of 19.6, obtained using monodisperse 390 aerosol, was applied to the measurements conducted with polydisperse aerosol. The resulting data are shown in Fig. 6. The measured PN concentrations for the three size distributions are within 25 %. It can be observed that the measured concentration begins to decrease from approximately 10^4 cm⁻³. The raw signal of the CDC decreases by about 50 % at a concentration of 10^6 cm⁻³. The observed reduction in raw counts at high concentrations is similar to the behavior of CPCs, which experience pulse

overlap at high PN concentrations and apply internal coincidence correction to account for this reduction (Takegawa et al., 395 2017).

In contrast to CPCs, where signal reduction is caused by the dead time of the optical detection system, the CDC signal depends on the product of average droplet charge (and therefore size) and particle concentration. Coagulation and agglomeration rates were calculated and found to reduce the PN concentration by less than 1 % and are therefore negligible. However,

condensational heating and vapor depletion are known to significantly reduce the final droplet size, thereby directly affecting 400 the signal count. As discussed in Sect. 4, WFs with higher vapor pressures are increasingly influenced by the latent heat effect. Compared to DEG, glycerol has a saturation vapor pressure that is approximately 30 times lower. At a temperature setting of T = 40/20 °C, concentration-dependent effects were not observed with glycerol up to a particle number concentration of 2.10⁵ cm⁻³. The corresponding data is shown in the supplemental information in Fig. S4. In contrast to glycerol, no significant 405 reduction in signal amplitude was observed over several weeks when DEG was used as the working fluid.

The amplification of the signal amplitude enables the measurement of lower PN concentrations. At 1 s measurement time, the 3σ -limit of detection was determined to be 40.8 cm⁻³, which is about a factor 25 lower compared to conventional DC instruments, such as the Naneos Partector 2 at 10³ cm⁻³ (naneos particle solutions gmbh, 2024). The current upper detection limit of $1.5 \cdot 10^6$ cm⁻³ is limited by the signal amplification in the analog-digital-converter of the used the DC. This limit can be

extended to higher concentrations at the cost of the noise level. Alternatively, adjusting the temperature settings and therefore 410 droplet size also allows for shifting the concentration range of the instrument.







Figure 6. Normalized CDC linearity evaluation response for three polydisperse size distributions from 10^3 cm⁻³ to $1.5 \cdot 10^6$ cm⁻³

6.6 Chassis dynamometer exhaust emission measurements

The CDC was tested on a two-wheeler chassis dynamometer against a reference counter with a Euro 5 compliant L3e-A2 (medium performance) motorcycle, equipped with a single cylinder four-stroke engine during a homologation World Motorcycle Test Cycle. The exhaust gas was sampled from a constant volume sampling (CVS) tunnel into a dilution system compliant 415 with the current UN-ECE R83 which is described in more detail in Schurl et al. (2023). The dilution system consists of a volatile particle remover to allow for the measurement of the solid PN concentration. The CDC system was placed parallel to the CPC downstream of the sampling system. The CPC previously used in the sampling system (nominal cut-off: 10 nm) was replaced with a 3756 CPC (TSI Inc., USA), with a nominal d_{50} value of 2.5 nm, and is therefore very close to that of the CDC system with a temperature setting of T = 55/20 °C.

420

The resulting data are shown in Fig. 7. The CDC PN signal was reduced by a constant factor over the whole test cycle compared to the laboratory calibration. The reduction was observed to be correlated to an increase in the required corona voltage for a set corona current. This suggests that the WF vapor probably affects the corona discharge process (Schaffer, 2021). While an increased temperature of the corona module reduced the corona voltage, this also led to re-evaporation of the

425 droplets before charging.

> The charger was operated at 25 °C and the raw CDC data were adjusted with a linear offset to that of the reference counter. The adjustment factor was calibrated to the cumulative PN concentration of the reference at the end of the run after performing a background correction of the CDC data. Due to the narrow measured concentration range, no further concentration-dependent calibration, as shown in Fig. 6, was required. Furthermore, a moving average of the 10 Hz CPC data was performed to match the switching period of the precipitator of 2 s.

430

As shown in Fig. 7(a), the CDC sensor closely followed the PN concentration of the reference CPC. This is also observed in the cumulative PN concentration (Fig. 7(b)), which shows good correlation between both instruments. At high PN concen-





435

trations at the end of the cycle, the CDC system slightly under-counts compared with the reference counter, which is caused by non-linear counting behavior as described above. Peak detection was performed and 90 emission peaks of the test cycle were identified. The maximum peak heights for both instruments were correlated to each other. The CDC shows a very good correlation with an R^2 of 0.94. The slope of the correlation was k = 0.92 and therefore underestimated the peak height by about 8 % compared to the cumulative PN concentration, suggesting that the response time of the CDC is slightly below that of the reference counter after time-averaging.

440

To evaluate the CDC at elevated concentration levels, the instrument was placed in the CVS with an additional 1:10 dilution and the dilution system bypassed for measurement with the CDC. As the volatile particle remover within the sampling system could not be removed, and the CDC measured without additional pre-treatment, two different metrics - solid PN vs total PN were evaluated. The resulting are shown in Fig. S5 and show show good correlation with an R^2 of 0.90 and close tracking of the cumulative PN concentration after calibration. Further details are found in the supplemental information.



Figure 7. Calibrated PN concentrations from a chassis dynamometer test. (a) Time-dependent measured concentration of both the CDC counter and a reference counter. (b) Background-adjusted the cumulative PN concentration of both counters. (c) Correlation of 90 detected emission peaks.

7 Conclusion

445 In this work, we present new instrument combining a condensation growth stage with a DC for PN measurement. The condensational magnification transforms a polydisperse size distribution into monodisperse droplets. This addresses key characteristics





associated with DC, such as particle size dependence and lower particle size limit. The increased and uniform droplet sizes lead to a more uniform charge distribution and significantly improve sensitivity. The lower detection limit was extended down to 2.5 nm, while maintaining the advantages of electrical detection for high PN concentrations.

450

Simulations were performed to model the size-dependent particle activation and subsequent growth dynamics. The choice of working fluid was found to be critical due to the direct influence of the final droplet size on the measurement signal. Furthermore, the lower size threshold, vapor pressure, as well as limitations in dimensions and temperature settings of the growth stage need to be considered.

The experimental study confirmed the modeled sensor response regarding the lower particle detection limit and signal amplification. The d_{50} diameter was measured to be at 3.0 nm at the chosen temperature settings, with potential to further decrease the cut-off diameter. The CDC is capable of measuring concentrations above 10^6 cm⁻³ at a flow rate of 0.54 L min⁻¹, exceeding the total particle count rate of a CPC operating in single-count mode at comparable flow rates by approximately an order of magnitude.

Compared to conventional DC instruments, the CDC sensor shows a reduced size dependence and a lower limit of detection.
 This comes at the cost of non-linear behavior regarding the particle concentration, which, however, can be calibrated. While CPCs optically detect particles and require a minimum droplet size threshold for sufficient light scattering, the CDC measures the total charge acquired by the droplets. The working fluid selection is therefore widened as smaller droplet diameters are sufficient. However, a change in size directly influences the measurement amplitude.

The CDC was compared to a 2.5 nm CPC at a chassis dynamometer. The CDC is a suitable candidate to measure realworld driving emissions with highly transient particle emission events. As the upper concentration limit is higher than that of a CPC, dynamic measurements are possible over a wide concentration range without an extensive dilution system. This allows for a more compact instrument potentially used on board light-duty vehicles, which are limited in their weight and typically high-emitting vehicles.

- The sensor principle is open to a range of future improvements, including the potential adoption of an alternative WF and refinement of the temperature settings. These modifications could further lower the particle detection limit to below 2 nm, enhance the instrument's linearity, and increase the upper concentration limit. Depending on the target application, the FCEM geometry and flow rate can be adapted. A reduction in FCEM size would result in faster gas exchange times and, consequently, improved instrument response time. Conversely, a larger FCEM would increase sensitivity and reduce the limit of detection. Moreover, further investigation is required to assess the influence of the condensing vapor on the corona discharge process, as
- 475 well as to evaluate the long-term stability of the sensor.

Data availability. Data will be made available at a later stage.





Author contributions. Conceptualization: HK and AB; laboratory characterization: HK; chassis dynamometer measurements: HK and SS; simulations: HK and VF; manuscript writing: HK; scientific discussion, interpretation of the results and manuscript review: HK, VF, SS, MK and AB; funding: AB

480 Competing interests. The authors claim no competing interests

Acknowledgements. This publication is part of the LENS research project funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program (Grant ID: 101056777). The authors would like to thank Mario Schriefl and Markus Knoll from AVL DiTEST for providing the Diffusion Charger. The grammar and language quality of the text were improved using generative AI.





References

- 485 Ahonen, L. R., Kangasluoma, J., Lammi, J., Lehtipalo, K., Hämeri, K., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: First measurements of the number size distribution of 1–2 nm aerosol particles released from manufacturing processes in a cleanroom environment, Aerosol Science and Technology, 51, 685–693, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2017.1292347, 2017.
 - Asbach, C., Todea, A. M., and Kaminski, H.: Evaluation of a Partector Pro for atmospheric particle number size distribution and number concentration measurements at an urban background site, Aerosol Res, 2, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-2-1-2024, 2024.
- 490 Balendra, S., Kale, A., Pongetti, J., Kazemimanesh, M., Haugen, M., Weller, L., and Boies, A.: Condensation particle counters: Exploring the limits of miniaturisation, Journal of Aerosol Science, 175, 106 266, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2023.106266, 2024.
 - Biskos, G., Reavell, K., and Collings, N.: Unipolar diffusion charging of aerosol particles in the transition regime, Journal of Aerosol Science, 36, 247–265, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2004.09.002, 2005.
 - Brook, R. D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope, C. A., Brock, J. R., Bhatnagar, A., Diez-Roux, A. V., Holguin, F., Hong, Y., Luepker, R. V., Mittleman,
- 495 M. A., Peters, A., Siscovick, D., Smith, S. C., Whitsel, L., and Kaufman, J. D.: Particulate Matter Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease, Circulation, 121, 2331–2378, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0B013E3181DBECE1, 2010.
 - Burtscher, H., Lutz, T., and Mayer, A.: A New Periodic Technical Inspection for Particle Emissions of Vehicles, Emission Control Science and Technology, 5, 279–287, https://doi.org/10.1007/S40825-019-00128-Z, 2019.
- Choi, Y. and Kim, S.: An Improved Method for Charging Submicron and Nano Particles with Uniform Charging Performance, Aerosol
 Science and Technology ISSN:, 41, 259–265, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820601148262, 2007.
 - Fierz, M., Houle, C., Steigmeier, P., and Burtscher, H.: Design, calibration, and field performance of a miniature diffusion size classifier, Aerosol Science and Technology, 45, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2010.516283, 2011.
 - Fierz, M., Meier, D., Steigmeier, P., and Burtscher, H.: Aerosol measurement by induced currents, Aerosol Science and Technology, 48, 350–357, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2013.875981, 2014.
- 505 Fletcher, N. H.: Size Effect in Heterogeneous Nucleation, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 29, 9949, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1744540, 1958.
 - Franck, U., Odeh, S., Wiedensohler, A., Wehner, B., and Herbarth, O.: The effect of particle size on cardiovascular disorders The smaller the worse, Science of The Total Environment, 409, 4217–4221, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2011.05.049, 2011.
- Giechaskiel, B., Wang, X., Gilliland, D., and Drossinos, Y.: The effect of particle chemical composition on the activation probability in
 n-butanol condensation particle counters, Journal of Aerosol Science, 42, 20–37, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2010.10.006, 2011.
- Giechaskiel, B., Maricq, M., Ntziachristos, L., Dardiotis, C., Wang, X., Axmann, H., Bergmann, A., and Schindler, W.: Review of motor vehicle particulate emissions sampling and measurement: From smoke and filter mass to particle number, Journal of Aerosol Science, 67, 48–86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2013.09.003, 2014.
 - Hao, W., Stolzenburg, M. R., Attoui, M., Zhang, J., and Wang, Y.: Optimizing the activation efficiency of sub-3 nm
- 515 particles in a laminar flow condensation particle counter: Model simulation, Journal of Aerosol Science, 158, 105841, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2021.105841, 2021.
 - Health Effects Institute: tate of Global Air 2024. Special Report, https://www.stateofglobalair.org/sites/default/files/documents/2024-06/ soga-2024-report_0.pdf, 2024.
- Iida, K., Stolzenburg, M. R., and McMurry, P. H.: Effect of Working Fluid on Sub-2 nm Particle Detection with a Laminar Flow Ultrafine
 Condensation Particle Counter, Aerosol Science and Technology, 43, 81–96, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820802488194, 2011.



535



- Jung, H. and Kittelson, D. B.: Characterization of aerosol surface instruments in transition regime, Aerosol Science and Technology, 39, 902–911, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820500295701, 2005.
- Keskinen, J., Pietarinen, K., and Lehtimäki, M.: Electrical low pressure impactor, Journal of Aerosol Science, 23, 353–360, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(92)90004-F, 1992.
- 525 Kim, D. S., Suk Lee, D., Gyu Woo, C., and Choi, M.: Control of nanoparticle charge via condensation magnification, Journal of Aerosol Science, 37, 1876–1882, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2006.08.003, 2006.
 - Knoll, M., Schriefl, M. A., Nishida, R. T., and Bergmann, A.: Impact of pre-charged particles on steady state and pulsed modes of unipolar diffusion chargers, Aerosol Science and Technology, 55, 512–525, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2021.1873910, 2021.
- Krasa, H., Bainschab, M., Kupper, M., and Bergmann, A.: 10 nm Exhaust Particle Counting System for Automotive Certification Measure ments, in: 39th Annual Meeting of the American Association for Aerosol Research, 2021.
- Kuang, C., Chen, M., McMurry, P. H., and Wang, J.: Modification of Laminar Flow Ultrafine Condensation Particle Counters for the Enhanced Detection of 1 nm Condensation Nuclei, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2011.626815, 46, 309–315, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2011.626815, 2011.

Lewis, G. and Hering, S.: Minimizing Concentration Effects in Water-Based, Laminar-Flow Condensation Particle Counters, Aerosol Science and Technology, 47, 645–654, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2013.779629, 2013.

- Li, Y., Chen, X., Wu, J., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Z., Hao, J., and Jiang, J.: A convertible condensation particle counter using alcohol or water as the working fluid, Aerosol Science and Technology, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2024.2395939, 2024.
- Mamakos, A., Giechaskiel, B., Drossinos, Y., Lesueur, D., Martini, G., Krasenbrink, A., and European Commission. Joint Research Centre. Institute for Energy and Transport.: Calibration and modeling of PMP compliant condensation particle counters., European Comission,
 ISBN 9789279226106, https://doi.org/10.2788/41597, 2011.
 - Melas, A., Vasilatou, K., Suarez-Bertoa, R., and Giechaskiel, B.: Laboratory measurements with solid particle number instruments designed for periodic technical inspection (PTI) of vehicles, Measurement, 215, 112 839, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEASUREMENT.2023.112839, 2023.

naneos particle solutions gmbh: Partector 2 Aerosol Dosimeter Operation Manual, www.naneos.ch, 2024.

- 545 Park, D., An, M., and Hwang, J.: Development and performance test of a unipolar diffusion charger for real-time measurements of submicron aerosol particles having a log-normal size distribution, Journal of Aerosol Science, 38, 420–430, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2007.01.003, 2007.
 - Penttinen, P., Timonen, K. L., Tiittanen, P., Mirme, A., Ruuskanen, J., and Pekkanen, J.: Ultrafine particles in urban air and respiratory health among adult asthmatics, European Respiratory Journal, 17, 428–435, https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.01.17304280, 2001.
- 550 Qi, C., Chen, D.-R., and Pui, D. Y. H.: Experimental study of a new corona-based unipolar aerosol charger, Aerosol Science, 38, 775–792, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2007.05.005, 2007.
 - Reavell, K., Hands, T., and Collings, N.: A fast response particulate spectrometer for combustion aerosols, in: SAE Technical Papers, ISSN 26883627, https://doi.org/10.4271/2002-01-2714, 2002.

Reinisch, T., Radl, S., Bergmann, A., Schriefl, M., and Kraft, M.: Effect of model details on the predicted saturation profiles in condensation

particle counters, Advanced Powder Technology, 30, 1625–1633, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2019.05.011, 2019.
 Schaffer, P.: Influence of gas composition on the corona discharge process : Modeling and experimental verification, Ph.D. thesis, Graz





- Scheibel, H. G. and Porstendörfer, J.: Generation of monodisperse Ag- and NaCl-aerosols with particle diameters between 2 and 300 nm, Journal of Aerosol Science, 14, 113–126, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(83)90035-6, 1983.
- 560 Schmidt-Ott, A. and Burtscher, H.: Verfahren und Vorrichtung zur Messung von Anzahlkonzentration und mittlerem Durchmesser von Aerosolpartikeln, 2006.
 - Schraufnagel, D. E.: The health effects of ultrafine particles, Schraufnagel Experimental & Molecular Medicine, 52, 311–317, https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-020-0403-3, 2020.
- Schriefl, M. A., Bergmann, A., and Fierz, M.: Design principles for sensing particle number concentration and mean particle size with unipolar diffusion charging, IEEE Sensors Journal, 19, 1392–1399, https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2018.2880278, 2019.
 - Schriefl, M. A., Nishida, R. T., Knoll, M., Boies, A., and Bergmann, A.: Characterization of particle number counters based on pulsed-mode diffusion charging, Aerosol Science and Technology, 54, 772–789, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2020.1724257, 2020.

Schurl, S., Kupper, M., Krasa, H., Heidinger, A., and Schmidt, S.: A PN-Measurement System for Small Engine Applications, in: Small Powertrains and Energy Systems Technology Conference, ISBN 2023011809, 2023.

570 Stolzenburg, M. R. and McMurry, P. H.: An Ultrafine Aerosol Condensation Nucleus Counter, Aerosol Science and Technology, 14, 48–65, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786829108959470, 1991.

Stratmann, F., Herrmann, E., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Modelling Ag-particle activation and growth in a TSI WCPC model 3785, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 3, 273–281, www.atmos-meas-tech.net/3/273/2010/, 2010.

Suh, J., Han, B., Seong Kim, D., and Choi, M.: A method for enhanced charging of nanoparticles via condensation magnification, Journal of
 Aerosol Science, 36, 1183–1193, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2005.02.001, 2005.

- Iida, K., and Sakurai, H.: Modification TSI Takegawa, N., and laboratory evaluation of а ultrafine condensation particle counter (Model 3776) for airborne measurements, Aerosol Science Techand 51, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2016.1261990/ASSET/15B80A07-8D59-486E-B3BCnology, 235-245, 1FD0E859A79C/ASSETS/IMAGES/LARGE/UAST_A_1261990_F0009_B.JPG, 2017.
- 580 Vanhanen, J., Mikkilä, J., Lehtipalo, K., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Siivola, E., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: Particle size magnifier for nano-CN detection, Aerosol Science and Technology, 45, 533–542, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2010.547889, 2011.
 - Vasilatou, K., Iida, K., Kazemimanesh, M., Olfert, J., Sakurai, H., Sipkens, T. A., and Smallwood, G. J.: Aerosol physical characterization: A review on the current state of aerosol documentary standards and calibration strategies, Journal of Aerosol Science, 183, 106483, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAEROSCI.2024.106483, 2025.
- 585 Wimmer, D., Lehtipalo, K., Franchin, A., Kangasluoma, J., Kreissl, F., Kürten, A., Kupc, A., Metzger, A., Mikkilä, J., Petäjä, T., Riccobono, F., Vanhanen, J., Kulmala, M., and Curtius, J.: Performance of diethylene glycol-based particle counters in the sub-3nm size range, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 6, 1793–1804, https://doi.org/10.5194/AMT-6-1793-2013, 2013.
 - Yaw, C. L.: Yaws' handbook of thermodynamic and physical properties of chemical compounds : physical, thermodynamic and transport properties for 5,000 organic chemical compounds, Knovel, 1st edn., ISBN 978-1-59124-444-8, 2003.